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The 20th century is likely to be remembered as a period during which a rise and fall of cardiovascular disease was experienced in North America and Western Europe. In most of the countries in these regions, the incidence of stroke and, then, of ischemic heart disease increased slowly but steadily from the beginning of the 20th century, then reached a peak in the sixties or seventies, and eventually started to decrease. In almost all Western European countries, the incidence rates are currently still declining, with a shift of cardiovascular deaths towards higher age. Thus, the absolute number of cases tends to remain constant (or even to increase) because of the counteracting effect of population ageing.

In the early 1970s, Abdul Omran offered the clever paradigm of epidemiological transition to describe the intricate changes of demography, socioeconomic environment, lifestyles and health services leading to the predominance of chronic and degenerative diseases.1 Although not fully illuminating the causal pathways explaining the epidemiological changes of cardiovascular disease, Omran’s paradigm foresaw what sorts of challenges would predominate in the future. In other words, the paradigm
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of epidemiological transition opened up a new area of public health, illustrated by the globalization of cardiovascular disease.

Sadly, it took a long time to fully draw conclusions from Omran’s vision in terms of public health priorities and strategies, especially in low and middle income countries. In fact, arguably the first large scale endorsement of health transition at the global level took place only recently in September 2011 at the United Nations High-level Meeting on Non-Communicable Diseases Prevention and Control held in New York, of which cardiovascular disease is an important part. This was exactly 40 years after the publication of Omran’s paper. But the evidence is overwhelming: in 2005, the estimated losses in national income from heart disease, stroke and diabetes (reported in international dollars) were $18 billion in China, $11 billion in the Russian Federation, $9 billion in India and $3 billion dollars in Brazil.

However, evidence abounds that prevention of cardiovascular disease can be effective at a population level. In Finland, a community and national intervention aimed at changing behaviors resulted in a reduction of coronary deaths by 85 percent as well as a reduction in all-cause mortality, a feat described with hindsight by Oppenheimer, Blackburn and Puska in this issue. Importantly, while this reduction was greater in younger age groups (–96% in men 35-44 years), it remained significant in all ages of the population (–69% in men 65-79 years), signaling that it is never too late for prevention. In Poland, after the government ended the subsidy on highly-saturated meats and fats and encouraged the consumption of vegetables, coronary heart disease mortality rates fell by 25 percent in the following five years, even without noticeable improvement in the health care system. Further dramatic demonstration of the effect of prevention is provided from the town of Helena in the state of Montana, United States where population acute coronary syndromes decrease by 40 percent in six months after a smoking ban, and returned to previous levels when the law was rescinded. The fruits of prevention are thus already harvested in just months and years, and not simply decades after implementation, a point worth making to populations, public health decision-makers and politicians alike.

Moreover, these effects are demonstrable in populations as disparate as Cuba and the US. The economic crisis of 1991-1995 in Cuba led to increased physical activity from 30 percent to 67 percent (due to fuel shortages for buses), resulting in a decreased prevalence of obesity from 14 percent to seven percent and a fall of coronary deaths within a year and a 39 percent decline by 2002. In the vastly different US population, with a decidedly distinct health care system, a nationwide analysis demonstrated that primary and secondary prevention still explain a higher proportion of the decline in cardiovascular deaths than advances in medical therapies,
with 44 percent of the decline attributed to changes in risk factors, despite
the increase in the prevalence of obesity.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, prevention works for
all age-groups, in populations as diverse as those found in Cuba, Poland,
Finland and the US, and yields near-term results in cardiovascular disease.

This prevention has to be multifaceted. In this issue of the Journal, we
have aimed to provide both first-hand accounts of what has worked
(Luepker on WHO MONICA\textsuperscript{11}; Oppenheimer, Blackburn and Puska on the
journey from Framingham to North Karelia\textsuperscript{4}) as well as a window on new
challenges facing our transitioning world (Bovet and Paccaud on low and
middle-income countries\textsuperscript{12}; Pajak and Kozea on Central and Eastern
Europe\textsuperscript{13}; Petrukhin and Lunina on Russia\textsuperscript{14}), while addressing novel
concepts (Jacobs, Tapsell and Temple on food synergy\textsuperscript{15}), approaches
(Lovasi, Grady and Rundle on walkability and health\textsuperscript{16}), controversies
(Bochud, et al. on dietary salt intake\textsuperscript{17}), reasoned regulatory arguments (Jha
on avoidable deaths from smoking\textsuperscript{18}) or pertinent reflections (Bayer and
Feldman on the limits of public health achievements for tobacco control\textsuperscript{19};
Lang, et al. on social determinants\textsuperscript{20}) on our path to prevention. Although
naturally mentioned throughout, we do not specifically address stroke,
which we aim to do in a subsequent relevant issue. We hope that this issue
of Public Health Reviews will be helpful to practitioners of public health,
researchers and public policy decision-makers alike, as the enclosed articles
offer a mix of fundamental issues and practical aspects related to
cardiovascular health. Quite fittingly, this issue begins with career
reflections from Daan Kromhout\textsuperscript{21} and Salim Yusuf,\textsuperscript{22} two distinguished
cardiovascular researchers embodying these efforts from vastly different
vantage points yet unified in a common goal, for which we are grateful.
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