Renal Involvement in Connective Tissue Diseases
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Abstract

Connective tissue diseases (CTDs) are a heterogeneous group of disorders that share certain clinical presentations and a disturbed immunoregulation, leading to autoantibody production. Subclinical or overt renal manifestations are frequently observed and complicate the clinical course of CTDs. In systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), renal prognosis significantly improved based on specific classification and treatment strategies adjusted to kidney biopsy findings. Patients with scleroderma renal crisis (SRC), which is usually characterised by severe hypertension, progressive decline of renal function, and thrombotic microangiopathy, show a significant benefit of early angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors use in particular and strict blood pressure control in general. Alterations of kidney function in Sjögren syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), auto-immune myopathies and primary as well as secondary antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) are frequently present and physicians should be aware of that. In this review we focus on impairment of renal function in relation to underlying disease or adverse drug effects and implications on treatment decisions.
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**Introduction**

Impairment of renal function is present to some extent in many CTDs with a prevalence of approximately 50% in SLE[1], variable occurrence in Sjögren syndrome[2, 3] and antiphospholipid syndrome[4], roughly 5% in systemic sclerosis (SSc)[5] and rarely in inflammatory auto-immune myopathies and RA. Apart from that, kidney involvement can be of significant prognostic value and often entails specific therapeutic implications.

Lupus nephritis is one of the most severe organ manifestations of the disease and, depending on biopsy findings needs aggressive immunosuppressive therapy. Large controlled trials have outlined the striking success of cyclophosphamide (CYC) and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF)[6-10] in the induction and MMF as well as azathioprine (AZA)[11-13] in the maintenance of remission. In patients refractory to standard treatment strategies, alternative approaches, such as intravenous immunoglobulins[14], immunoadsorption[15], B-cell depleting therapy[16] or stem cell transplantation[17] have attracted attention. Renal manifestation in primary and secondary antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) is a well-described complication, frequently leading to arterial hypertension and occasionally impairment of renal function[4, 18].

Scleroderma renal crisis (SRC) is a severe, potentially life-threatening complication in scleroderma and is, in most cases accompanied by malignant hypertension, overexpression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and rapid decline of renal function[19-21]. In rare cases patients are presenting with normotensive SRC, which is associated with a poorer prognosis and a prompter need of dialysis[22-24]. Early commencement of ACE-inhibitors and other antihypertensive drugs is mandatory in the management of SRC.

Lymphocytic infiltration, leading to acute or chronic tubulointerstitial nephritis, is the predominant renal pathology in Sjögren syndrome[3, 25, 26]. Rhabdomyolysis with acute tubular necrosis or glomerular disorders, including minimal change disease, membranous nephropathy, IgA nephropathy or diffuse proliferative glomerulonephritis, have been reported in patients with auto-immune myopathies[27, 28]. Patients with RA are at an increased risk of developing secondary amyloidosis due to long-lasting chronic inflammation as well as mesangial glomerulonephritis and membranous nephropathy related to specific drugs[29]. Table 1 summarises specific kidney biopsy findings in the context of CTDs.
Sjögren syndrome

Primary Sjögren syndrome (PSS) is an autoimmune disorder of hitherto unknown origin which is characterised by polyclonal B-cell activation as well as lymphocytic infiltration of the exocrine glands, resulting in keratoconjunctivitis sicca (dry eyes disease) and/or xerostomia (dry mouth disease)[30]. In addition, extraglandular manifestations of PSS can affect organ systems such as lungs, blood vessels, skin, the gastrointestinal tract, central and peripheral nervous system, muscular skeletal apparatus and the kidney[31, 32]. Affected patients are at increased risk of developing non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, in particular lymphomas of B-cell origin[33]. Secondary Sjögren syndrome is associated with other rheumatic disorders such as RA, SLE, SSc and others. Renal disease in patients with PSS is reported to occur in 4.2%[2] to 67%[3]. The variation is considered to be associated with different diagnostic criteria used, different study designs, small cohorts examined as well as selection bias. Acute or chronic tubulointerstitial nephritis (TIN) with defects in tubular function is the predominant lesion in biopsy proven renal involvement[3, 25]. Distal (type I) renal tubular acidosis (RTA) is the most common clinical finding, leading to mild symptoms but also potentially life-threatening complications such as hypokalemic paralysis[34]. Albeit considered to be rare, proximal (type II) RTA has been reported in some cases[25, 35]. Moreover, glomerular disease, such as cryoglobulinemic membrano-proliferative glomerulonephritis, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis, membranous nephropathy and minimal change disease have been reported[3, 25, 26, 36]. A single case of long-lasting TIN in a patient with PSS led to a secondary (AA) amyloidosis with consequently renal failure and nephrotic syndrome[30]. Interestingly in one study SSA/Ro, SSB/La and rheumatoid factor as well as hypergammaglobulinemia were detected in all subjects with biopsy proven renal involvement[25]. In another cohort all patients with distal RTA had positive anti-nuclear antibodies and either, SSA or SSB antibodies were detected in 85.7%[37]. Treatment with glucocorticoids should be initiated as first line therapy in patients with PSS and renal involvement since a good response to early treatment has been reported[25, 38]. In addition, long-term bicarbonate and/or electrolyte supplementation should be commenced in a majority of patients to prevent life-threatening complications[38]. Besides corticosteroids, alternative immunosuppressive therapies (hydroxychloroquine, rituximab, CYC) should be prescribed based on kidney biopsy findings as well as comorbidities. It was shown that renal function
maintained or improved during a median follow-up period of 38 months after treatment with immunosuppressive drugs[25].

**Scleroderma renal crisis**

SSc (Systemic Scleroderma) is a connective tissue disease characterised by deposition and overproduction of extracellular matrix proteins and collagen, resulting in tissue fibrosis and subsequently tissue dysfunction. Affected organs and tissues include the skin, gastrointestinal tract, heart, lung, and kidney. Involvement of the vascular system generally results in the development of Raynaud’s phenomenon early in the disease course. Consecutively, severe clinical manifestations of vascular dysfunction can be observed in some patients leading to pulmonary fibrosis and pulmonary artery hypertension, oesophageal motility dysfunction, watermelon stomach, cardiac involvement as well as scleroderma renal crisis (SRC)[39-41]. Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), a condition that conveys a phenotypic conversion from differentiated epithelial cells to matrix-producing fibroblasts and myofibroblasts, is recognised as crucial part in the development of tissue fibrogenesis[42, 43]. Several growth factors such as transforming growth factor β (TGFβ)[19], connective tissue growth factor (CTGF)[20] as well as other mediators such as endothelin-1[21] are involved in tissue remodelling. SRC occurs roughly in 5% of patients with SSc[5]. Several risk factors with a predictive value were established: duration of SSc onset less than 4 years, higher incidence of progressive skin thickening prior to renal involvement, new development of anemia and cardiac involvement (pericardial effusion or congestive heart failure)[44]. Detection of anti-RNA polymerase III antibodies displays a strong risk marker for the presence of SRC, whereas presence of anti-topoisomerase and anti-centromer antibodies in scleroderma indicates a favourable disease course[45]. In addition, a case control study revealed a significant positive association between long-lasting high-dose corticosteroid treatment (≥ 15g/d) and onset of SRC[46]. On average, in 10% of patients SRC occurs in the absence of hypertension. Normotensive renal crisis was more frequently present in patients treated with high doses of corticosteroids and in patients with redundant microangiopathic hemolytic anemia and thrombocytopenia in consequence of the underlying disease [22]. In addition, normotensive renal failure in SSc was associated with a higher mortality rate and earlier need of dialysis treatment[22-24].
Blood pressure levels greater than 150/90 mmHg were observed in almost 90% of patients experiencing SRC. Hypertensive SRC is accompanied by clinical signs of malignant hypertension with left ventricular failure, hypertensive encephalopathy and arrhythmia[23]. Diagnosis of SRC is confirmed by renal biopsy which shows a thrombotic microangiopathic process, particularly affecting small vessels. Vascular changes are accompanied by thrombosis, accumulation of myxoid material and later in the disease course development of onion-skin lesions and/or fibrointimal sclerosis[24]. Furthermore, one has to keep in mind that ANCA-associated vasculitis is a rare complication of SSc and in general presents with antibodies directed against myeloperoxidase and p-ANCA[47].

Early use of ACE inhibitors, on the basis of most experience in particular captopril, is undoubtedly a cornerstone in the management of hypertensive SRC. Immediate use with progressive increase of ACE inhibitors dosage, even in the presence of deteriorating kidney function, is considered to prevent or even reverse renal failure[48, 49]. Additional antihypertensive therapy (calcium channel blockers, alpha/beta-adrenoreceptor antagonists and/or minoxidil) is mandatory when blood pressure is insufficiently controlled.[40, 48]. Recent findings suggest that dialysis was required in more than 50% of patients either in case of volume overload together with renal deterioration or to control blood pressure due to therapy-resistant hypertension[5, 23]. Discontinuation of dialysis treatment could be accomplished in 16-55% patients with SRC[5, 49]. After a stable disease course with continuous dialysis renal transplantation should be considered when contraindications are ruled out. In a cohort of 260 patients with SSc, who underwent kidney transplantation the overall 5-year graft survival rate was 56.7 %. Among those, the recurrence of disease after transplantation was 6.7 % in a report of the United Network of Organ Sharing (UNOS)[50]. Based on the finding that cyclosporine A (CSA) may be responsible of acute renal failure in patients with SSc[51], calcineurin inhibitors are not generally recommended as immunosuppressants after kidney transplantation.

Dermatomyositis and polymyositis

Auto-immune myopathies, namely dermatomyositis (DM) and polymyositis (PM), share common clinical features such as proximal muscle weakness, muscle inflammation, presence of autoantibodies, elevated muscle enzymes, electromyographic alterations and extra muscular manifestations. Despite clinical similarities, both differ regarding muscle biopsy findings and DM is associated with cutaneous
involvement. The presence of a heliotrope rash, which is characterised by a violaceous skin discoloration around the eyes, and Gottron’s sign (erythematous papules with involvement of joints) are pathognomonic for DM[52, 53]. Both entities are associated with concurrent incidence of neoplasms. In large cohorts malignancies were detected in 9.4 to 32 % in DM and in 4.4 to 17% in PM patients[54-57] with a predominance of adenocarcinomas[57].

Two types of renal involvement have been described in patients with PM/DM. First, rhabdomyolysis with release of myoglobin can lead to acute tubular necrosis with deterioration of renal function[27, 28]. Second, several reports revealed the occurrence of chronic glomerulonephritis in patients with PM/DM[27, 58-61]. In PM mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis represents the leading glomerular lesion[58, 62, 63]. Moreover, other biopsy specimens showed lipid nephrosis with FSGS[64], membranous nephropathy[65] and crescentic glomerulonephritis with FSGS[66]. In contrast, the predominant finding in DM with renal involvement is membranous nephropathy[67-69]. Nevertheless, both mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis[70] and diffuse proliferative glomerulonephritis[61] have been reported in single case reports.

High-dose oral corticosteroids are the cornerstone of DM/PM therapy. Moreover, addition of immunosuppressive drugs such as AZA or CYC as well as anti-malaria medication in DM and methotrexate, CYC, intravenous immunoglobulins and CSA in PM has been reported to improve the renal outcome in DM/PM[27, 61, 67-70]. In contrast to these reports, one patient progressed to end-stage renal disease despite immunosuppressive treatment[71]. Follow-up of the patients with DM revealed a high mortality rate due to cancer or multi-organ failure, while mortality in PM was high due to acute rhabdomyolysis followed by severe hyperkalemia and metabolic acidosis in a case report[27].

**Systemic lupus erythematosus**

SLE depicts a remarkable complex autoimmune disease with considerable heterogeneity in clinical manifestations and disease course. Classification of SLE was lastly edited by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) in 1997[72] (Table 2). Earlier diagnosis, more intensive treatment regimen and diverse alternative strategies and possibilities to treat co-morbidities have contributed to improvement of prognosis[73]. Negative predictive factors with respect to survival include male gender, positive lupus anticoagulant, glomerulonephritis and “severe” onset of SLE[74]. The Incidence is much higher in young
woman and the prevalence is two-to-four fold greater in non-Caucasian populations[75]. Genetic, environmental as well as hormonal factors have been identified as possible risk factors for developing SLE[76, 77].

Autoantibodies are directed against various nuclear antigens, in particular against chromatin components, such as nucleosomes, histones, anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA), double-stranded DNA antibodies (dsDNA), and ribonucleoproteins. Recently, it was suggested that the nucleosome might be the driving autoantigen in SLE. This hypothesis is supported by the finding that glomerular deposition of anti-dsDNA antibodies in lupus nephritis is mediated by nucleosomes[78, 79]. The kidneys are a major source of autoantibody-producing plasma cells in lupus nephritis and these differentiated plasma cells are frequently observed in patients with severe renal involvement (mainly classes III through V), potentially acting in amplifying the renal disease course[80]. Additional autoantibodies include anti-Smith (Sm) antibodies with a high specificity for SLE, while SSA and SSB are present in other CTDs as well[81]. As a sequel of active disease complement levels are frequently reduced, while the clinical presentation of genetic complete complement deficiencies resembles a SLE-like disease[82]. Levels of complement C3 and C4 correlated with the overall disease activity. Patients with active lupus nephritis had significantly lower levels of C3 and C4 compared to patients with inactive lupus nephritis[83]. Serum C3 has generally higher sensitivity than serum C4, but both tests have only modest specificity for active lupus nephritis[84]. Assessment of the relationship between serum levels of C3 or C4 and renal flares revealed that C4 is critical for initiating a renal flare, while C3 activation is involved in the actual tissues damage[85]. Antibodies directed against C1q were detected in all patients with active nephritis in a large cohort[86]. Moreover, anti-C1q antibodies showed the strongest association with proteinuria among potential biomarkers and were significantly correlated with Renal Activity Score[87]. However contradictory to these reports, in a cohort of 126 patients anti-C1q antibodies were not significantly associated with active lupus nephritis[88].

Histologic affection of the kidney in the natural history of disease is present in a majority of patients and is supposed to appear in almost 50 % in the first year of diagnosis[1]. Recent findings even suggest a higher incidence, since a considerable proportion of patients with SLE have silent lupus nephritis. Diagnosis in the latter group was significantly earlier compared to the overt lupus nephritis group and urinary sediment as well as renal function tests were normal[89]. Renal biopsy findings are categorised according
to the current classification of lupus nephritis, which were published on behalf of the International Society of Nephrology (ISN)/Renal Pathology Society (RPS)[90] (Table 3). However, one should be aware that other glomerular changes such as collapsing glomerulopathy[91], IgA nephropathy[92], FSGS, IgM nephropathy[93], minimal change disease[94] / glomerular podocytopathy[95] can occur as well and alterations in kidney function due to rhabdomyolysis with acute kidney failure[96] as well as type I and IV RTA[97] have also been reported. In general, use of ACE inhibitors significantly reduced the development of proteinuria and/or biopsy-proven lupus nephritis and was associated with a decreased risk of disease activity[98]. Concomitant use of antimalarial drugs (chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine) at diagnosis of lupus nephritis reduced the risk of progression to end-stage renal failure and frequency of hypertension[99].

Specific treatment follows the class of lupus nephritis, which is defined by the revised ISN criteria. Class I and class II require no therapy directed at the kidney in consequence of good long-term renal outcome[100]. In contrast, high-dose steroid therapy resolved nephrotic syndrome rapidly in a majority of SLE patients with minimal change disease either in the absence or with underlying class II lupus nephritis based on renal biopsy findings[94, 95]. Immunosuppressive treatment is required in the management of class III (focal), class IV (diffuse) and class V (membranous nephropathy) lupus nephritis and usually consists of high dose glucocorticoid therapy along with intravenous CYC or MMF as induction therapy. The Euro Lupus Nephritis Trial compared low dose CYC (fortnightly at a fixed dose of 500 mg, cumulative dose of 3 g) with the previously established high dose CYC (NIH) regimen (mean cumulative dose 8.5 g). Both strata were followed by AZA as remission-maintaining treatment. Renal outcome was similar in both treatment arms, but the low dose CYC group had fewer severe infections, even though the difference was not statistically significant[6]. Reports from this trial with 10 year duration of follow-up confirmed the efficacy of the Euro Lupus regimen[7]. Since a majority of the subjects were white in this trial, these results might be less applicable to other ethnicities. In a more diversified cohort (> 50 % blacks) MMF (mean daily dosage 2,68 g) has been reported to be superior as induction therapy when compared to monthly CYC (0,5 g – 1 g/m²) in patients with class III through V lupus nephritis[8]. A further large, multi-center trial in a balanced cohort with respect to ethnicities designed to show superiority of MMF (mean daily dosage 2,47 g) to CYC failed to meet the primary end point. Both treatment arms achieved virtually identical rates of complete and partial remission. Furthermore, no significant difference
with regard to severe adverse events or infections were reported. Response to MMF as induction treatment in pure class V (membranous nephropathy) lupus nephritis in patients with diverse racial background appeared to show no difference in comparison to CYC. Patients (> 60% blacks) with class V LN showed a better response regarding induction of remission after CSA when compared to CYC, whereas relapse of nephrotic syndrome occurred more frequently in patients with prior CSA therapy. In a small cohort, multi-target therapy (MMF and tacrolimus) in patients with class IV and class V lupus nephritis revealed a higher rate of complete remission with a good tolerability when compared to intravenous CYC.

Following induction therapy, long-term immunosuppression is mandatory to avoid severe flares and to maintain stabilisation of disease activity. Thus, immunosuppressants with a favourable safety profile and good efficacy are mandatory. MMF and AZA are deemed suitable and have shown efficacy in maintaining remission of lupus nephritis. Equivalence of MMF and AZA was reported in the MAINTAIN Nephritis Trial, even though a trend towards fewer renal flares in the MMF group (19% vs. 25% in the AZA group) was reported. More recently, in a larger trial MMF was superior to AZA with respect to maintaining a renal response and preventing relapse in patients with lupus nephritis.

B-cell depleting therapy with anti-CD20 antibody rituximab (RTX) proved efficient in patients with active SLE including patients with lupus nephritis, who were nonresponsive to standard immunosuppressive therapy. In proof of the efficacy of RTX treatment in moderately to severely active SLE and lupus nephritis, two large multi-center trials were conducted. The EXPLORER trial (moderate to severe active SLE) demonstrated no difference in primary / secondary end points between RTX and placebo. In a subgroup analysis a beneficial effect of RTX was observed in the African-American/Hispanic subgroup. In patients with proliferative lupus nephritis and background immunosuppression (MMF) no difference was noted when RTX was added with regard to safety and efficacy (LUNAR trial) even though opportunistic infections are reported to be rather common in SLE patients related to RTX treatment. Enthusiasm was also dampened by reports on the development of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in SLE patients following treatment with RTX.

Novel approaches with focus on targeted therapy have been developed and are currently evaluated in clinical trials. Circulating B-lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS) is elevated in SLE, and titers correlate with
increased disease activity and elevated dsDNA antibody concentrations[108]. Patients with serologically active SLE responded significantly better to belimumab, an antibody that binds to BLyS and inhibits its biological activity, plus standard of care (SOC) than to SOC alone[109]. The efficacy of belimumab was further corroborated in two large phase III trials, BLISS 52[110] and BLISS 76[111]. In both trials belimumab met its primary efficacy end point and was consequently approved by the FDA in the treatment of SLE with exception of severe active lupus nephritis or central nervous system lupus. Further investigations addressed to evaluate the role in active lupus nephritis are necessary.

Promising results have been obtained in a phase II trial for epratuzumab, a humanised anti-CD22 antibody[112, 113]. Atacicept, a soluble receptor fusion protein, neutralises the activity of BLyS and a proliferation-inducing ligand (APRIL) and heterotrimers thereof[114]. In a phase I trial atacicept was well tolerated and demonstrated a dose-dependent reduction of immunoglobulin levels and in mature/total B cell numbers[115]. However, in patients with active lupus nephritis a phase II trial was terminated due to an increased number of infections[113]. Further trials assessing the efficacy and safety are currently ongoing.

In patients with highly active lupus nephritis with failure to conventional therapy, short term as well as prolonged immunoabsorption led to a significant reduction in proteinuria and to sustained remission rates[15]. Autologous stem cell transplantation achieved sustained clinical remissions in patients refractory to conventional immunosuppressive treatment, even though this clinical benefit was associated with increased mortality rates in most studies conducted so far[17]. Intravenous immunoglobulins have shown benefits in patients nonresponsive to other therapies and as a steroid-sparing agent[14].

**Kidney disease in antiphospholipid syndrome**

Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) is defined by the association of vascular thrombosis potentially affecting all segments of the vascular bed, complications during pregnancy (including unexplained consecutive spontaneous abortions, premature births because of severe preeclampsia, eclampsia or placental insufficiency or unexplained death before 10th week of gestation), and the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL), namely anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL) and lupus anticoagulant (LAC)[116]. The APS is classified as primary APS in the absence of associated autoimmune disease,
whereas secondary APS is found alongside other autoimmune disorders[117]. Renal manifestations in the context of APS may result from thrombosis occurring at any location in the renal vasculature. Renal artery stenosis (RAS) is a common complication of APS, leading to renovascular hypertension[118]. In a retrospective study patients with APS, RAS and hypertension receiving oral anticoagulation with a target trough International Normalized Ratio (INR) > 3.0 had a better blood pressure control and renal function remained stable or improved, while in patients with an INR < 3.0 renal function significantly deteriorated and blood pressure was poorly controlled[119]. Arterial hypertension is a well-documented complication of APS. In a series of patients with primary APS a high proportion of patients presented with hypertension, which was attributed to biopsy-proven vascular nephropathy[120]. Kleinknecht et al. reported that all patients had severe hypertension and renal insufficiency in a small cohort of patients with secondary APS due to SLE[121]. Thrombosis of the renal vein and inferior vena cava usually presents with nephrotic-range proteinuria in primary and secondary APS[122], especially in those with circulating LAC[123]. Antiphospholipid syndrome nephropathy (APSN) refers to kidney damage caused by intrarenal vascular damage and may be acute, in case of the presence of thrombotic microangiopathy, and/or chronic, in case of arteriosclerosis, fibrous intimal hyperplasia and focal cortical atrophy[120, 124]. Thrombotic microangiopathy is characterised by distinctive microscopic and ultrastructural changes and clinical presentation commonly includes hypertension, mild to nephrotic-range proteinuria, and renal impairment[120, 124]. Tektonidou et al. examined kidney biopsies obtained from patients with SLE with or without presence of aPL. APSN was detected in almost 40 % with aPL, compared with only 4.3 % of patients without aPL[18]. Fakhouri et colleagues examined twenty-nine kidney biopsies of patients with APS[125]. Of these, in nine biopsies predominant pathological features distinct from ASPN were noted: membranous nephropathy (3 cases), minimal-change disease/focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (3 cases), mesangial c3 nephropathy (2 cases), and pauci-immune crescentic glomerulonephritis (1 case). Furthermore, a case of fibrillary glomerulonephritis in a patient with APS was published recently[126]. Interestingly, presence of aPL in patients undergoing renal transplantation significantly increase the risk of renal vascular thrombosis and graft failure[127, 128].

Blood pressure control is the key intervention in the treatment of APS-related renal involvement. Adequate anticoagulation (if evidence of microthrombi is present) has shown encouraging results in small cohorts and may prevent progression to end-stage renal disease[129]. Evidence supporting
immunosuppressive therapy in these patients is limited to case series[126, 130] and is not routinely recommended in APS-related renal manifestations. Contrasting, patients with catastrophic APS, which is characterized by severe multiple organ dysfunction in consequence of diffuse small vessel ischemia and thromboses predominantly affecting the parenchymal organs, usually receive a combination therapy including anticoagulation, steroids, intravenous immunoglobulins and plasmapheresis, but despite this aggressive approach mortality is still high[131].

Rheumatoid arthritis

RA is characterised by persistent synovial, systemic inflammation and autoantibodies (particularly to rheumatoid factor and citrullinated peptides). Genetic as well as environmental factors contribute to the risk of developing RA[132]. Renal involvement is relatively common in patients with RA. A study of renal biopsy specimens indicated that mesangial glomerulonephritis is the predominant histopathologic finding in RA, followed by amyloidosis, membranous nephropathy, focal proliferative glomerulonephritis, minimal-change nephropathy, and acute interstitial nephritis[29]. Development of membranous nephropathy is related either to therapy with disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), in particular gold thiomalate, D-penicillamine and bucillamine[133], and anti-TNF alpha therapy, such as etanercept and adalimumab[134, 135], or rarely occurs concomitant with RA[136]. Secondary AA amyloidosis was prevalent in 5.8% of patients with RA and was accompanied by a shortened life expectancy[137]. Deposition of amyloid in renal tissue correlated significantly with parameters of renal function[133], while a lack of amyloid deposition in the glomerulus may characterise subjects with stable renal function[138]. Etanercept treatment reduced proteinuria as well as serum amyloid A. Furthermore, it entailed a decrease in serum creatinine in patients with creatinine values < 2.0 mg/dl at onset of amyloidosis[139]. Mesangial glomerulonephritis is probably related to RA itself, since its occurrence was associated with higher titers of RF when compared with RA patients without nephropathy. Deposition of mesangial IgA correlated with the duration of RA and elevated serum IgA levels, whereas mesangial IgM deposition was correlated with serum levels of IgM class RF[140]. In addition, single reports reveal the presence of FSGS[141] and fibrillary glomerulonephritis[142] in RA patients. Anti-TNF alpha therapy can be causative for the development of necrotizing crescenting glomerulonephritis and proliferative lupus nephritis[143, 144]. Besides the renal side effects of gold salts, D-penicillamine and bucillamine, CSA as
another DMARD has a serious potential for renal toxicity, which is manifested primarily in a decline in creatinine clearance[145]. Improvement of clinical and laboratory parameters was achieved in most cases after drug withdrawal and in case of necessity initiation of immunosuppression[134, 135, 144, 145].

**Conclusion and Future Directions**

Renal involvement is frequently present in CTDs and has variable phenotypes. Since there is a steadily increase of knowledge regarding the pathophysiology behind auto-immune disorders, more specific therapeutic approaches have been developed and are currently in clinical trials. One of these promising agents is belimumab, an inhibitor of serum BlyS, which was recently approved by the FDA for treatment of SLE with the exception of active lupus nephritis and central nervous system involvement. A randomized, controlled trial with inclusion of active lupus nephritis is currently designed. Furthermore, BlyS inhibition may also be effective in the treatment of PSS, since patients with Sjögren syndrome exhibit increased BlyS levels[146]. In patients with SLE, B-cell depleting therapy with RTX was effective in a larger cohort including patients with lupus nephritis[16] and efficacy was furthermore confirmed in a recent meta-analysis evaluating patients with refractory lupus nephritis[147]. However, RTX failed to show superiority in two large phase III trials with patients either presenting without renal involvement (EXPLORER) or with renal involvement (LUNAR) [103, 104] even though a post hoc analysis of the EXPLORER trial indicated that RTX-treated patients achieved lower disease activity without a subsequent severe disease flare when compared to those treated with placebo[148]. Persistent B-cell presence was associated with no clinical response following RTX treatment[149]. In addition, physicians should be aware of severe infectious complications following RTX treatment in SLE patients[106, 107]. Despite other strategies, such as immunoglobulin administration, immunoadsorption, stem cell transplantation[14, 15, 17], RTX is nevertheless one alternative in refractory SLE[16].

Results from hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) in SSC are promising. Current studies, namely the SCOT and ASTIS trials, have completed patient recruitment and the first results are expected for 2012[150]. The ASSIST trial clearly depicted the efficacy of HSCT in patients with scleroderma, since all ten patients in the HSCT-group improved when compared to none in the CYC –treated cohort[151]. In addition, endothelin receptor antagonists in combination with dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), significantly reduced proteinuria and stabilised the serum creatinine level.
after an initial increase in a patient with SRC[152]. Despite efficacy in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension in SSC[153], trials with the aim to show benefits of endothelin receptor antagonists in SRC have yet to be conducted.

Acute or chronic TIN is the predominant kidney biopsy finding in Sjögren syndrome. Kidney function normalizes in most cases after corticosteroids are initiated[3, 25]. In addition, several glomerular lesion patterns have been described in Sjögren syndrome, as well as in other CTDs, such as inflammatory autoimmune myopathies and RA. APS-related renal manifestation potentially affects any segment of the vascular bed and is commonly accompanied by arterial hypertension. Blood pressure control is crucial, whereas the role and the target level of oral anticoagulation needs to be further elucidated.

Based on studies in non-diabetic nephropathy patients with renal involvement in CTDs should receive RAAS blocking agents once proteinuria is > 1g/day[149,150]. Renal function needs to be monitored as well as serum potassium levels and blood pressure. In chronic kidney disease in the pre-dialysis state lowering of LDL-cholesterol safely reduced the risk of major atherosclerotic events[154]. Accelerated atherosclerosis is a common finding in patients with chronic inflammation and in CTDs in particular[155]. Thus, modification of the risk factors contributing to the evolution of cardiovascular disease is crucial in these patients. Moreover, adherence to therapeutic advices may be an underestimated problem, since a recent study indicated that only one-quarter of patients with SLE had an adherence rate ≥ 80 %[156]. In addition, counselling against smoking should be mandatory in patients with SLE and RA[157].

In summary, renal manifestations of CTDs are frequent. Renal biopsies to ensure diagnosis is necessary in most patients presenting with deterioration of renal function, increase of proteinuria or signs of nephritic syndrome (summarised in table 4). An interdisciplinary approach to optimize treatment is the aim in patients with CTDs.
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