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Dear Roselyn Remoto,

Further to your e-mail of 23. October 2015, thanking you for consideration of my manuscript, I am enclosing an itemized checklist stating how I have dealt with the remarks raised by the editorial office.

1. The manuscript is revised and line and page numbers are included.

2. On the title page the full name, institutional address and email address of the sole author are listed clearly.

3. This is a debate article and not a research article. Its content is based on clinical data provided in the medical literature. All authors are referenced in their appropriate place. So as a debate article, this manuscript does not require ethical approval.

4. Regarding acknowledgements I have done it by my own so there is nothing to be acknowledged.

With many thanks in anticipation of your favour I remain with kind greetings and look forward for your positive response.

Sincerely yours,

Woubet Kassahun, MD, PhD