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Regarding my initial comments - whilst the authors have dealt with them generally it could still be improved. For example, regarding clarity of language - p.2 materials and methods "bee, wasp or paperwasp" - is not a paperwasp a wasp ? It would be more accurate to state something like "Vespula o Polistes wasp species". Earlier in the same sentence "carried out with files" - better as "using the clinical reports of".

Regarding brevity, p.9 the first sentence of the discussion, consisting of 7 lines of text, represents only two sentences. The next paragraph is almost as bad. The reader[and authors] would benefit from more concise rendering of the authors thoughts.

Figure legends. The authors have gone somewhat overboard by inappropriate repitition of Muellers classification. The grades are defined in full no less than five times ! Clearly some cross-referring can occur in this instance.
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