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Reviewer's report:

I believe this is a well written and succinct manuscript demonstrating a sound anatomic rationale to meet its aims. Overall there is good referencing addressing the need for a more accurate surgical method, and appropriate logic presented by the authors for the proposed methods. I do, however, have a little concern with the title of the manuscript. I believe that additional studies with statistical analysis to address reliability are warranted in order to make the claims that the title suggests. One clerical item that I believe should be addressed - if acceptable per journal guidelines, I believe the "Methods" heading is unnecessary and could possibly be deleted. In addition, the ensuing paragraph starting with "No approval..." could be moved to the end of the manuscript or the information placed in a footnote at the end of the manuscript. The information is ultimately not relevant and distracts from the flow of the document.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? 
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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