VISCERAL LEISHMANIASIS OWING TO *Leishmania infantum* (*L. chagasi*) IN A SPANISH PATIENT RESIDING IN ARGENTINA, WHAT IS THE ORIGIN OF THE INFECTION?; CASE REPORT.
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ABSTRACT

Background: The question “Where have you been?” is a common one asked by doctors in Northern Europe and America when faced with clinical symptoms not typical of their country. This question must also arise in the clinics of developing countries in which non-autochthonous cases such as the one described here can appear. Important outbreaks of *Leishmania infantum* have been recorded in the last decade in several Iberoamerican countries but its presence has not yet been recorded in Argentina. We report the first case of visceral leishmaniasis owing to *L. infantum* in this country.

Case presentation: A 71-year-old Spanish woman who has been living in Mendoza (Argentina) during the last 40 years. She has presented a history of high fever and shivering, anemia, leukopenia and splenomegaly during 2 years. Argentinian doctors did not suspect visceral leishmaniasis even when the histological analysis revealed the presence of “intracytoplasmatic spheroid particles compatible with fungal or parasitic infection”. After a serious deterioration in her health, she was taken to Spain where she was evaluated and visceral leishmaniasis was established. Specific identification of the parasite was done by PCR-ELISA, isoenzyme electrophoresis and RAPD-PCR.

Conclusion: We would like to point out that cases such as the one described here, which appear in non endemic areas, can pass unnoticed by the clinical physician and that the anamnesis can be biased by only considering risk of parasitic infection to occur in less economically developed countries.
BACKGROUND

The question “Where have you been?” is a common one asked by doctors in Northern Europe and America when faced with clinical symptoms not typical of their country. There are numerous cases of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) and cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) diagnosed in patients who have been travelling in the Mediterranean basin or Central or South America. A similar situation occurs with veterinary surgeons and canine leishmaniasis. This question must also arise in the clinics of developing countries in which non-autochthonous cases such as the one described here can appear.
CASE PRESENTATION

A 71-year old Spanish woman who has been living in Mendoza (Argentina) during the last 40 years. She has always been in good health and does not take regular medication. In June, 1998, she began to present high fever and shivering mainly in the evening and poor general health. She was submitted to in-depth clinical studies in Mendoza (Argentina) without reaching any definitive diagnosis. Laboratory examination revealed: haemoglobin: 9.4 g/dL, WBC: 1600 /mL with 13% lymphocytes and 4% mononuclear cells, and polyclonal immunoglobulinopathy. She had splenomegaly. She was treated with antipyretic agents and antibiotics and in October 1999 was submitted to splenectomy but continued with the same symptomatology. Histopathological study of the spleen revealed “Giemsa-stained histocytic intracytoplasmatic spheroid particles compatible with fungal or parasitic infection”. In spite of this diagnosis, *Leishmania* was not suspected and, therefore, no specific treatment was prescribed.

After a serious deterioration in her health and on request of her nephew physician, she was taken to Spain where she was admitted in May 2000 to the Hospital Virgen de las Nieves de Granada, where, on the basis of previous findings, visceral leishmaniasis was suspected. Bone marrow puncture was carried out and blood was extracted to obtain serum. The specific antibody titre against *Leishmania*, detected by indirect immunofluorescence was 1/1280. Microscopic observation of Giemsa-stained smears revealed the presence of amastigotes in bone marrow and the promastigote form was observed in Minimum Essential Medium Eagle supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum. kDNA of *L. infantum* was detected in the bone marrow aspirate using PCR-ELISA. Treatment with Glucantime (20mg/Kg daily for 4 weeks) was followed by
complete remission of symptoms and the patient returned to Mendoza (Argentina) in excellent health.

**Specific identification of the parasite**

PCR-ELISA\(^1\) revealed that the species present was *L. infantum*, and after mass culture of the parasites, isoenzyme electrophoresis in starch gel identified the strain (MHOM/??/2000/DP517) as belonging to the zymodeme MON-27 of *L. infantum*. This zymodeme differs from *L. infantum* MON-1 in the NP1 enzyme that presents a relative electrophoretic mobility of 130. Also, the RAPD-PCR technique was applied and revealed a closer association between the problem strain and a strain of *L. chagasi* used as a control (Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**

In Argentina, there have been a total of 16 recorded cases of leishmaniasis with visceral symptomatology since 1923. Of these, 2 were considered to have been imported from Italy and Yugoslavia, one was of unknown origin, and the remaining 13 were autochthonous to the provinces of Salta, Jujuy, Santiago del Estero and Chaco (northern Argentina). In these provinces, tegumentary leishmaniasis (cutaneous, cutaneomucosal and mucosal leishmaniasis) owing to *L. (Viannia) braziliensis* is endemic\(^2\). Recently, cases of tegumentary leishmaniasis caused by *L. (Leishmania) amazonensis*\(^3\) have been described. There has also been a case of visceral leishmaniasis due to *L.(Leishmania) mexicana* in a patient with AIDS\(^4\). Owing to i) the absence of the LV vector where the cases appeared in Argentina, ii) geographical overlap with the region of endemic cutaneous leishmaniasis, iii) simultaneous symptomatology of tegumentary leishmaniasis and iv) a lack of parasite classification in recorded cases of VL, it has been postulated that these could be due to a visceralization of cutaneous leishmaniasis\(^2\).
*L. (Leishmania) chagasi* is the etiological agent of visceral leishmaniasis in the New World and is considered to be synonymous to *L. infantum*, the only causal agent of visceral and tegumentary leishmaniasis in western European Mediterranean countries. Important outbreaks of *L. chagasi* have been recorded in the last decade and an increase in its distribution in several Iberoamerican countries such as Brazil and Paraguay but its presence has not yet been recorded in Argentina\(^2\). The city of Mendoza, (32° 53’ LS, 68° 49’ LW) is more than 800 km away from the area of transmission of tegumentary leishmaniasis or from the last recorded case of leishmaniasis with visceral symptomatology in Argentina in 1989, in a completely different ecological region to those where sand fly insect vectors are found. This could explain why Argentinian doctors did not suspect this disease even when the histological analysis revealed the presence of intracellular parasites. On the other hand, sporadic cases of tegumentary leishmaniasis in migrants, an exotic disease in Mendoza but not in Argentina, were adequately recorded, diagnosed and treated by the local health service in Mendoza.

The patient travelled regularly to Spain, although when she became ill she had not been to this country in the previous two years. In 1985, she went on holiday to Brazil and six months before her first symptoms had visited Santiago de Cuba (Cuba) and Cancun (Mexico). Every year she goes to Santiago de Estero (Argentina) for 15-20 days, a place she had just visited before becoming ill and in which tegumentary leishmaniasis due to *L. (Viannia) braziliensis* is endemic\(^2,5\).

In Mexico there are few cases of visceral leishmaniasis and these seem to be confined to the states of Chiapas, Guerrero, Puebla and Tabasco. No case has been described in the Yucatan Peninsula, the region in which Cancun, the place visited by the patient, is located. Leishmaniasis is not endemic in Cuba and these two countries can,
therefore, be ruled out as the source of the infection. It is also unlikely that the infection took place in Brazil since the patient had not visited this country in the last 15 years. However, she always visited Spain during Summer and stayed for several months in regions known to be endemic for leishmaniasis in the provinces of Granada, Barcelona and Valencia. It is, therefore, likely that the patient was infected in Spain because of: i) more opportunities for infection due to the patient’s frequent and prolonged visits. ii) the enzymatic polymorphism of the isolate. This belongs to a different zymodeme than MON-1, the only one identified to date in America, while there is a clear presence of the *L. infantum* polymorphism in Spain.

Dendrograms constructed using the RAPD technique agree with those developed from isoenzymatic data for the separation of species but coincide little for within-species data, reflecting the heterogeneity of the strains belonging to the same zymodeme. Nevertheless, these RAPD dendrograms reflect a geographical structuration of the isolates. Figure 1 shows how the isolate DP517 is separated from the strains of *L. infantum* isolated from southern Spain, possibly indicating that the infection did not happen in this Spanish region. In any case, if the patient had contracted the present infection in Spain this would correspond to a case of asymptomatic leishmaniasis for at least the first two years. This would not be very unusual since it is well known that infection by *L. infantum* can exist in a subclinical form in healthy individuals without a previous background of cutaneous or visceral leishmaniasis. In fact, in endemic regions there is a remarkably high proportion of asymptomatic carriers of *Leishmania*. These asymptomatic individuals could, therefore, act as reservoirs for the parasite.

On the other hand, the RAPD results show a greater association between this strain and the *L. chagasi* control that would favour the infection occurring in Argentina.
Moreover, to date the MON-27 zymodeme has only been found in Italy, a country that the patient has never visited, but could have been introduced into the country by Italian immigrants.

However, *Lutzomyia longipalpis*, the vector of *L. chagasi*, is very little represented in Argentina, and has only been captured more than 1500 km from Mendoza, in the Misiones province. Neither have other species with vectorial capacity for *L. infantum-chagasi* in Argentinian territory been found, and the only sand fly found south of 29\(^\circ\) is limited to a very small area with unique ecological characteristics 1000km from the patient’s place of residence. No sand flies have been recorded in the captures of insect vectors made to date in Mendoza nor are there any cases of autochthonous human or veterinary tegumentary or visceral leishmaniasis in this Argentinean province. Therefore, vectorial transmission of VL due to *L. infantum-chagasi* in Mendoza seems unlikely. On the other hand, the patient visited annually the province of Santiago de Estero, endemic for tegumentary leishmaniasis, with three cases of VL in the last few years, one concomitant with a mucocutaneous leishmaniasis; although the VL vector was not found either in this province nor was there any record of VL in immunocompetent adults or in dogs.

**Conclusion**

We would like to point out that cases such as the one described here, which appear in non endemic areas, can pass unnoticed by the clinical physician and that the anamnesis can be biased by only considering risk of parasitic infection to occur in less economically developed countries. Similarly, in countries in which these introduced cases reside, in-depth parasitological studies are required into vectors and possible reservoirs to rule out the rare case of local infection and, once infection has taken place,
to ensure that this does not spread by anthroponotic transmission or a competent reservoir.
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Figure 1: Dendrogram Based Nei’s Genetic distance: Method = UPGMA Modified from NEIGHBOR procedure of PHYLIP Version 3.5. 1 are strains isolated from southern Spain: DP74 and DP208 are eastern strains and DP440 and DP510 are central-western strains. DP517 is the strain from this case report. *L. donovani* MON18 is from Portuguese origin.
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