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Cover Letter

Dear Editor BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders

We are pleased to submit the revised version of our manuscript “Postural assessment of women with chronic pelvic pain: a case control study” for consideration for publication in BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders.

We have now accepted the suggestions made by the reviewers. We thank you and the reviewers for improving the quality of this manuscript.

The manuscript has neither been published nor is currently under consideration for publication either in whole or in part by any other journal. The submission has been read and approved by each of my co-authors.

Thank you very much.

Prof. Omero Benedicto Poli Neto, MD, PhD
University of São Paulo
Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirao Preto
Department of Obstetrics and gynecology
Av. Bandeirantes, 3900
Ribeirao Preto - SP - Brasil
14049-900

Email: polineto@fmrp.usp.br
Phone: +55-16-36022961
Fax: +551636330946

REPLIES TO REVIEWER #1:

Comments to authors:
Reviewer #1: The discussion section can be improved.
**Reply:**

We thank you for the kind comments on our study and for helping to improve the quality of our manuscript. We have now made the following modifications in accordance with your suggestions and an improvement in discussion section was made. Please see page 6.

*The results of association in a case control study are generally reported as odds ratio.*

**Reply:**

Now Odds Ratio is made. Please see Table 2- page 11. A logistic regression was performed considering the significant variables. The description is on methods section, page 4 and the results are presented on Table 2.

*The discussion and the conclusion do not flow from the results; should be re-written.*

*The given data support the fact there is association between musculoskeletal changes and chronic pelvic pain. We cannot ascertain whether it is cause or effect due to the study design.*

**Reply:**

An improvement in discussion and conclusion section was made. Please see pages 6 and 7.

*Abstract (pg 2)- under conclusion- 34% of women with CPP had associated musculoskeletal changes,.. CPP instead of CCP.*

**Reply:**

Change was made.

**Reference 1: BMJ instead of Bmj**

**Reply:**

Change was made.

*Amendment to the conclusion which at present, does not answer whether the objective has met.*
Reply:
An improvement in conclusion section was made. Please see page 7

REPLIES TO THE REVIEWER #2:

Comments to authors:
Reviewer #2: Your full address is not needed

Reply:
We thank you for the kind comments on our study and for helping to improve the quality of our manuscript. We have accepted this suggestion. Please see below.

Reply:
Change was made.

Third paragraph: delete “we have observed”

Reply:
Change was made. Please see third paragraph of page 3.