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Abstract

Background: The dramatic increase in multiple births is an important public health issue, since such births have elevated risks for adverse perinatal outcomes. Our objective was to explore the most recent temporal trends in rates of multiple births in Canada and the United States.

Methods: Live birth data from Canada (excluding Ontario) and the United States from 1991–2009 were used to calculate rates of twins, and triplet and higher-order multiples (triplet+). Temporal trends were assessed using tests for linear trend and absolute and relative changes in rates.

Results: Twin live births in the United States increased from 23.1 in 1991 to 32.2 per 1,000 live births in 2004, remained stable between 2004 and 2007, then increased slightly to an all-time high of 33.2 per 1,000 live births in 2009. In Canada, rates also increased from 20.0 in 1991 to 28.3 per 1,000 live births in 2004, continued to increase modestly between 2004 and 2007, and rose to a high of 31.4 per 1,000 in 2009. Rates of triplet+ live births in the United States increased dramatically from 81.4 in 1991 to 193.5 per 100,000 live births in 1998, remained stable between 1998 and 2003 and then decreased to 148.9 per 100,000 in 2007. The rate declined marginally in 2008, but then rose again in 2009 to 153.5 per 100,000. Rates of triplet+ live births were much lower in Canada, although the temporal pattern of change was similar.

Conclusion: The rate of twin live births in the United States and Canada continues to increase, though more modestly than during the 1990s. Recent declines in rates of triplet+ live births in both countries appear to have abated.
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Introduction

The dramatic increase in multiple gestation births (i.e., twin, triplet, quadruplet and higher) over the past several decades [1-4] has been of great concern to health care providers, policy makers and researchers. Notwithstanding advances in clinical care that have improved perinatal outcomes for multi-fetal gestations, [5-7] rates of preterm birth, [2,6,8,9] low birth weight, [2,9] fetal and infant mortality [1,6,10] and long-term developmental disability such as cerebral palsy [11,12] remain substantially higher among multiple gestation births compared with their singleton counterparts. Since the risks for these adverse outcomes tend to rise with increasing plurality, [6,10,13] the rate of triplet and other higher-order multiple (triplet+) gestations has been under particularly close scrutiny.

Although some of the increase in multiple birth rates is a consequence of increased maternal age at delivery [2,3,14] (spontaneous multiple gestations arise more frequently in older women), [15] the change has been primarily attributed to an increase in the use of fertility treatments such as ovulation induction and assisted reproductive technologies (ART), i.e., in vitro fertilization, intracytoplasmic sperm injection, and frozen embryo transfer, [16-23] which can yield iatrogenic multi-fetal gestations. [24] A high proportion of infants born following ART are multiple births (45% in Canada in 2007 and 48% in the United States in 2006). [16,20]

In recent years, clinical practice guidelines advocating limits on the number of embryos transferred during ART procedures have emerged in Canada [25,26] and the United States [27] in an attempt to reduce the number of iatrogenic triplet or higher-order gestations. In the mid-2000s, for the first time, there was an indication that rates of triplet
and higher-order multiple births had begun to decline in the United States, [28,29] but this trend seems to have abated toward the end of the decade.[2] Corresponding trends in Canada have not been reported. We undertook this descriptive study to examine trends in twin and triplet or higher-order (triplet+) live births in Canada and the United States between 1991 and 2009. Our primary objective was to describe the temporal trends in rates of twin and triplet+ live births in the United States, and contrast these with trends in Canada.

Methods

We used information on live births from Canada and the United States for the years 1991 to 2009. The total number of singleton, twin and triplet or higher-order (triplet+) live births were obtained from Statistics Canada’s live birth databases and from surveillance reports from the United States. [2] We calculated rates of twin live births (per 1,000 live births) and triplet+ live births (per 100,000 live births) for Canada (excluding the province of Ontario) and for the United States. Data from Ontario were excluded from the primary analysis due to data quality problems described elsewhere [30] and are shown separately in the Appendix.

The temporal analysis of twin and triplet+ rates was conducted separately. We first plotted the rates from the United States and examined the linear pattern to identify the time points at which the slope of the line changed. We then statistically assessed the temporal change in rates within the identified time periods using the Cochrane Armitage chi-square test for linear trend in proportions. Absolute and relative differences in rates, with 95% confidence intervals (CI), were calculated to quantify the magnitude of change
between the beginning and end of each time period. This process was repeated with the Canadian data, assessing the temporal changes within the same time periods identified in the analysis of the United States data. Linear plots were generated using the observed rates for twins (per 1,000 live births). Similarly, 3-year moving averages of the observed rates for triplet+ live births (per 100,000 live births) were calculated with the first and last time points representing 2-year averages (i.e., the 1991 time point was calculated based on rates observed in 1991 and 1992, and the 2009 time point was based on rates observed in 2008 and 2009). With exception of the plots, all data preparation and analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.2 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

A secondary analysis of the temporal trends was also carried out using Joinpoint software (version 3.4.3), which measures changing trends over time by selecting the best-fitting points (called joinpoints) at which the slope of the increase or decrease in rates changes significantly. [31] The results of the joinpoint analysis confirmed the primary analysis; therefore only the latter is presented.

**Results**

The rate of twin live births increased in the United States from 23.1 per 1,000 live births (95% CI: 22.9 to 23.2) in 1991, reaching a high of 32.2 per 1,000 live births (95% CI: 32.0 to 32.3) in 2004 (39% increase, P-value for trend <0.0001; Tables 1 and 3). Between 2004 and 2007, there was little variation in the rate of twin live births (P-value for trend=0.81; Figure 1, upper panel); however, the absolute number of such births continued to increase each year (e.g., 132,219 in 2004 to 138,961 in 2007; Table 1). Between 2007 and 2009, there was a slight decrease in the absolute number of twin live births.
births; however, the rate increased by 1 per 1,000 to an all-time high of 33.2 per 1,000 in 2009.

The rate of triplet+ live births in the United States also increased, but much more dramatically (Table 2). Between 1991 and 1998 the rate increased by 112 per 100,000 live births (P-value for trend <0.0001; Tables 2 and 3), from 81.4 per 100,000 (95% CI: 78.7 to 84.2) to 193.5 per 100,000 (95% CI: 189.2 to 197.9). Between 1998 and 2003, the rate was relatively stable (Figure 1, lower panel), and this was followed by a statistically significant decline in rates between 2003 and 2007 (absolute reduction of 38 per 100,000 live births, P-value for trend <0.0001; Tables 2 and 3). The rate declined marginally in 2008, but then rose again in 2009 to 153.5 per 100,000 (95% CI: 149.7 to 157.3). In 2007, the absolute number of triplet+ live births in the United States was its lowest value in more than a decade (6,427). Despite the small, non-significant rate increase since 2007, the absolute number of triplet+ live births in 2008 and 2009 was lower than in 2007 (i.e., 6,268 in 2008 and 6,340 in 2009).

The temporal pattern in rates of twin live births in Canada (excluding Ontario) closely paralleled that of the United States — a 41% increase (P-value for trend <0.0001) was observed between 1991 and 2004 (from 20.0 per 1,000 live births to 28.3 per 1,000; Tables 1 and 3). Unlike the United States, however, the rate of twin live births continued to rise modestly, but significantly, in Canada between 2004 and 2007 (4% increase, P-value for trend 0.0005; Tables 1 and 3). The absolute number of twin live births in Canada (excluding Ontario) also continued to rise. The rate increase also persisted between 2007 to 2009, rising by about 2 per 1,000 live births from 29.5 (95% CI: 28.8-30.2) to 31.4 (95% CI: 30.7-32.1) and this was accompanied by an increase in the
absolute number of twin live births in each successive year (e.g., 6,770 in 2007 to 7,564 in 2009; Table 1).

The rate of triplet+ live births in Canada (excluding Ontario) was much lower and demonstrated far more variability than the rate in the United States (Figure 1, lower panel). Nevertheless, the temporal pattern was similar — between 1991 and 1998, the rate of triplet+ live births increased significantly (86% increase, P-value for trend <0.0001; Tables 2 and 3). From 1998 to 2003, no consistent change was observed in the rate; however, this was followed by a significant decline by about 33 per 100,000 between 2003 and 2007 (from 111.1 per 100,000 live births to 77.6 per 100,000, P-value for trend <0.0001). Similar to the United States, the rate of triplet+ live births increased non-significantly between 2007 and 2009.

Discussion

Throughout the 1990s and the early part of the subsequent decade, there was a dramatic rise in rates of multiple births in Canada and the United States. Our examination of trends over close to two decades demonstrates that the increasing frequency of twin live births has recently slowed, especially in the United States. Rates of triplet and higher-order multiple births decreased in the mid-2000s, both in Canada and the United States; however, in the latter part of the decade the declining rates levelled off and showed some modest inclination toward a further increase, though this was not statistically significant.

The recent decline in rates of higher-order multiple births is noteworthy insofar as it occurred despite increasing use of ART procedures by women seeking assistance to achieve pregnancy. In the United States, the number of ART cycles increased from
99,629 in 2000 to 146,244 in 2009. [23] In Canada, the number of reported ART procedures increased by about 21% between 2003 and 2007 (from 10,656 to 13,482). [16,32] One possible explanation for the opposing trends in rates of triplet+ live births and number of ART procedures is that there has been a change in clinical practice related to assisted reproduction, including primary prevention of triplet+ gestations by limiting the number of embryos transferred during ART (e.g., single embryo transfer), and reducing triplet and higher-order gestations to twin or singleton gestations through multifetal pregnancy reduction. [29] Indeed, a recent report from the United States showed that the proportion of in vitro fertilization procedures (using fresh eggs or embryos) in which a single embryo was transferred increased from about 6% in 2000 to about 14% in 2009, and there was a corresponding decrease in the proportion of transfers of 3 or more embryos (from about 69% in 2000 to 35% in 2009).[23] In Canada, the proportion of ART procedures in which 3 or more embryos are transferred was 31% in 2007 with little change between 2004 and 2007. [16-19]

A review of international policies and practices related to ART observed that countries with the highest proportion of single embryo transfers also had the highest rate of singleton pregnancies following ART. [33] In the Canadian province of Quebec, a recent study reported a substantial increase in elective single embryo transfers and concomitant reduction in multi-fetal pregnancies in the first three months following the implementation of new legislation in 2010 [34] mandating single embryo transfer (except under specific circumstances). [35] Given that single embryo transfer can reduce the incidence of iatrogenic multi-fetal gestations, the impact of recent clinical practice
guidelines [26] on embryo transfer practices and rates of multiple births following ART needs to be evaluated in Canada in the coming years.

This study is descriptive and thus cannot provide conclusive explanations for the observed temporal trends. Our source of data for the United States did not contain information on fetal deaths, and thus we restricted our analyses to live births. The exclusion of stillbirths from our calculations would have resulted in lower overall rates of multiple births and such underestimation would have been relatively greater for triplet and higher-order gestations and for earlier years of the study (given higher fetal mortality in higher-order multiple gestations and in the past. [5] Live births from Ontario were excluded even though about 40% of Canadian live births take place in that province. However, the documented problems with under-registration of live births, particularly of those who die a short time following birth, [30] have the potential to affect the accuracy of the number and rates of multiple live births. While the Ontario data were not included in the tables of this paper, we did examine the rates and observed similar temporal patterns for twin live births as in the rest of Canada (Appendix - Figure 1, upper panel). Nevertheless, rates of twin live births in Ontario were consistently higher than those in the rest of Canada, approached the rates observed in the United States and even surpassed U.S. rates in 2009 (when rates in Ontario were 33.9 per 1,000 live births versus 33.2 per 1,000 in the United States). Similarly, the rate of triplet+ live births in Ontario was, on average, considerably higher than in the rest of Canada (e.g., 143.2 per 100,000 live births versus 83.5 per 100,000, respectively, in 2009). As in the rest of Canada and the United States, the rate of triplet+ live births in Ontario declined between 2003 and 2007;
however, there was a statistically significant absolute increase of 35 per 100,000 triplet+ live births between 2007 and 2009 (Appendix - Figure 1, lower panel).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, temporal patterns in rates of twin and triplet+ live births were similar in the United States and Canada, though triplet+ rates were much lower in Canada. Rates of twin live births continue to increase in both countries in the 2000s, though modestly compared with the increases observed in the 1990s. The encouraging decline in rates of triplet and higher-order multiple live births that was observed in both countries in the mid-2000s waned between 2007 and 2009. While the recent decrease in triplet+ rates is important, the rates and corresponding number of infants born following a triplet gestation remains high. The fact that the decline in triplet+ rates occurred against a backdrop of increasing use of ART procedures may reflect a change in clinical practice related to assisted reproduction (e.g., increasing use of single embryo transfer). Continued monitoring of trends in twins and higher-order multiple births and their impact on perinatal outcomes is warranted.
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Figure title

Figure 1. Temporal trends in rates of twin live births (upper panel) and triplet and higher-order (triplet+) multiple live births (lower panel) in Canada (excluding Ontario) and the United States, 1991–2009.

Figure legend

Plots depict observed rates of twins (per 1,000 live births) and 3-year moving averages of observed rates for triplet+ (per 100,000 live births).
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