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Methods, Analysis, p. 7/8: modified Jackknife test/technique.

HOW: We respect this comment and have outlined this technique in detail in a special paragraph (3rd paragraph of the Methods, Analysis) according to the original description in the paper of Bessette et al. (ref. 18). All steps to apply this test are performed with SPSS as described in paragraph 1 and 3 of the Analysis. There was no special programming.

WHY: The modified Jackknife test has been used before in our paper (ref. 7) where we applied this test for first time. In the review process of this paper (Journal: Ann Rheum Dis), this method was demanded, and Jeffrey Katz, the last author of ref. 18 helped to perform the test and gave the name for it. Meanwhile, this technique was used in several other studies and published in peer-reviewed journals (see below).

Ref. 18:

Ref 7:

Further references using the modified Jackknife test:
