MID TERM RESULTS AFTER BONE MARROW LASER REVASCULARIZATION
FOR TREATING REFRACTORY ANGINA
ABSTRACT

Background: To evaluate the midterm results of patients with angina and diffuse coronary artery disease treated with transmyocardial revascularization in combination with autologous stem cell therapy.

Methods: Nineteen patients with diffuse coronary artery disease and medically refractory class III/IV angina were evaluated between June 2007 and December 2009 for sole therapy TMR combined with intramyocardial injection of concentrated stem cells. At the time of surgery, autologous bone marrow (120cc) was aspirated from the iliac crest. A cardiac MRI and an isotopic test were performed before and after the procedure. Follow-up was performed by personal interview.

Results: There were no perioperative adverse events including no arrhythmias. Mean number of laser channels was 20 and the mean total number of intramyocardially injected cells per milliliter were: total mononuclear cells ($83.6 \times 10^6$), CD34+ cells($0.6 \times 10^6$), and CD133+ cells($0.34 \times 10^6$). At 12 months mean follow-up average angina class was significantly improved ($3.4 \pm 0.5$ vs. $1.4 \pm 0.6$; $p=0.004$). In addition, monthly cardiovascular medication usage was significantly decreased ($348\pm118$ vs. $201\pm92$; $p=0.001$). At six months follow up there was a reduction in the number of cardiac hospital readmissions ($2.9\pm2.3$ vs. $0.5\pm0.8$; $p<0.001$). MRI showed no alterations regarding LV volumes and a 3% improvement regarding ejection fraction.

Conclusions: The stem cell isolator efficiently concentrated autologous bone marrow derived stem cells while the TMR/stem cell combination delivery device worked uneventfully. An improvement in clinical status was noticed in the midterm follow-up. Images test showed no morphological alterations in the left ventricle after the procedure.
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BACKGROUND

Coronary artery disease (CAD) remains a leading cause of death and disability and results in a significant social and economic burden to the health care system. Currently available options for treating CAD include lifestyle changes in conjunction with drug therapy, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. It is estimated, however, that 1-3% of patients presenting with diffuse CAD are not candidates for conventional revascularization and that 15-25% of patients undergoing CABG will have one or more major target areas incompletely revascularized due to diffuse coronary artery disease[1].

Incomplete revascularization is increasingly recognized as an independent predictor of operative mortality[2], particularly in the elderly[3]. Transmyocardial revascularization (TMR) is an approved surgical procedure to treat patients with diffuse coronary artery disease in which 1mm transmural laser channels are created in ischemic myocardium which cannot be conventionally revascularized. TMR can be performed either as a stand alone procedure (sole therapy) in patients with medically refractory angina who are not candidates for conventional revascularization or in conjunction with CABG in patients who would be incompletely revascularized by CABG alone. Although sole therapy TMR has demonstrated superiority over continued medical therapy in randomized trials[3-8], its effectiveness at angina relief is not 100%. Approximately 25% of patients treated with sole therapy TMR do not experience a two class reduction in angina at one year[3, 5].

Angiogenic up regulation of injured myocytes by the laser is hypothesized to provide a ‘fertile’ area for an enhanced stem cell paracrine effect. To increase the angiogenic response and associated clinical efficacy of TMR, the potential synergy of combining TMR with a cell-based therapy was investigated. Recently we described our Bone Marrow Laser Revascularization (BMLR) technique[9] in which a single device is used to perform holmium:YAG TMR (PHOENIX™, CardioGenesis, Irvine, CA) and inject...
concentrated autologous bone marrow derived stem cells. Now we describe our mid-
term results regarding clinical status, cardiac events and images findings in patients
treated with the BMLR technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Selection
Between June 2007 and December 2009, nineteen consecutive patients with diffuse
coronary artery disease and with maximal refractory medical treatment class III/IV
angina who were not candidates for PCI or CABG were prospectively evaluated for
Bone Marrow Laser Revascularization (BMLR). Agreement by a cardiologist and two
cardiac surgeons on inoperability was required for inclusion in this study. The Ethics
Committee and Institutional Review Board approved this prospective, single arm open
label study and informed consent was obtained from each patient. Inclusion criteria
included age over 18 and left ventricle ejection fraction > 25% with documented left
ventricular reversible ischemia documented by echocardiography, magnetic resonance
imagining or nuclear tests. Exclusion criteria included severe chronic obstructive
pulmonary disease, unstable angina requiring intravenous nitrates, myocardial
infarction within two weeks of surgery, decompensated congestive heart failure,
refractory arrhythmias and bleeding disorders.

Surgical Technique
Our technique has been previously described[9]. Briefly, after the bone marrow
aspiration the patient was repositioned supine with the left shoulder elevated and a
limited anterior lateral left thoracotomy incision was performed on a beating heart
through the fifth interspace allowing exposure of the distal two-thirds of the left ventricle
for BMLR. No heparinization was required. BMLR was performed using the PHOENIX
combination delivery system consisting of a 1mm flexible optical fiber (connected to a
20 watt pulsed holmium:yttrium-aluminum-garnet (holmium:YAG) laser) along with a
needle injection system. A bolus of 150 mg of amiodarone was administered before starting the BMLR procedure. An average of 20 laser channels (range 15-25) were created in each patient. The three retractable needles deployed after each channel and 1mL of concentrated mononuclear cells were injected into the myocardium around the channel.

All adverse events were recorded. Myocardial injury was monitored postoperatively measuring creatinine kinase (CKMB) and troponin. Efficacy endpoints included blinded angina assessment, change in cardiac medication usage and rates of cardiac hospital readmission following the procedure.

**Follow-up**

All patients were reviewed by a cardiac surgeon and by a cardiologist. MRI and SPECT test were performed before and 6-12 months after the procedure.

**Statistics**

Patient demographics and perioperative variables were collected prospectively. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and categorical data as proportions. Student’s T-test or the Mann-Whitney U-test was used for continuous variables comparisons. Categorical variables were compared using chi-square analysis. Patient follow-up was performed by citation in hospital or by telephone. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical package 14.0 (SPSS Corp., Birmingham, AL, USA)

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**

Nineteen patients (16 male: 3 female) with a mean age of 65.2 ± 6.1 years (range 52-78) underwent BMLR. Baseline clinical characteristics are described in Table 1. Baseline Canadian Cardiovascular Class was Class IV in seven patients and Class III
in twelve patients. Fifteen patients had prior PCI procedures (mean 3.3 interventions; range: 1-7). Eight patients had previous CABG procedures. Mean baseline ejection fraction was 54% (range 30-65).

**Safety Analyses**

All nineteen patients enrolled in the trial underwent successful BMLR without complications including no surgical mortality and no perioperative arrhythmias. There were no complications related to the bone marrow aspiration. One diabetic patient developed a superficial wound infection in her inframammary incision three weeks following surgery which healed uneventfully. Cardiac enzymes were measured at 2, 6, and 18 hours following the procedure (Figure 1). Postoperatively median length of stay in the intensive care unit was one day and average total length of stay was 5.5 days. Average follow-up was nineteen months (range: 2-30 months). There was one late death 28 months post procedure in a uncontrolled diabetic female due to heart failure.

**Efficacy Analyses**

Average follow-up was nineteen months and it was complete in all nineteen patients. Average angina class was significantly improved from baseline to follow up (3.4 ± 0.5 vs 1.7 ± 0.9; p<0.001). All patients experienced a two class reduction in angina with 50% (7/14) angina free six months post procedure. Monthly cardiac medication usage overall was significantly decreased (348 ± 118 vs. 201 ± 92; p=0.001) with the number of sublingual nitrates taken per month reduced (22.1 ± 30.4 vs. 1.4 ± 3.9; p<0.001). Significant reduction in cardiac related hospitalizations in the six months following treatment with BMLR compared to the six months prior to study enrollment (0.5 ± 0.8 vs 2.9 ± 2.3; p<0.001).

Three patients received follow on cardiac catheterization post BMLR due to advancing disease. Two were successfully intervened in territories with new lesions, the other could not receive further intervention.
**Cell Counts**

Mononuclear cells (MNCs) from the bone marrow aspirate were harvested and concentrated intraoperatively. Average time to complete the bone marrow aspiration and concentrate the cells was 30 minutes. Table 2 demonstrates the mean number of total MNCs, CD34+ cells, and CD133+ cells before and after the centrifugation.

**Diagnostic Results**

Follow up MRI was performed 6 months post procedure. Average EF% at baseline was of 47% and average EF% at follow-up was 50%. This is a 3% increase in EF% after BMLR treatment. Dimensional measures of the left ventricle were also documented, with no change in end diastolic or end systolic volumes. Patients diagnosed using SPECT did not show changes in fixed or variable defects.
Discussion
We combined TMR with autologous bone marrow derived stem cells injection in patients suffering angina despite medical treatment and with diffuse coronary disease non-manageable with standard surgical options. The procedure was feasible with no complications and it resulted a clinical improvement in patients. There was significant reduction in angina class, number of cardiovascular medication and cardiac related hospital admissions.

Transmyocardial revascularization is an approved surgical procedure to treat ‘no option’ patients with diffuse coronary artery disease. In prospective randomized trials sole therapy TMR has demonstrated a significant improvement in angina and event free survival and a reduction in cardiac related hospitalizations compared to patients randomized to maximum medical therapy alone[3, 5-8]. Long term follow up of TMR as a primary therapy shows an enduring benefit over time[4, 10] and 5 year follow up of one prospective randomized trial involving the sickest Canadian Cardiovascular Class IV patients has shown improved survival in the TMR treated patients[4]. In a recent meta-analysis the superiority of TMR versus maximal medical management at one and 3-5 year follow-up with regard to two class angina improvement has been confirmed[11]. The Society of Thoracic Surgery and the International Society of Minimally Invasive Cardiothoracic Surgeons (ISMICS) have published practice guidelines giving sole therapy TMR a Grade I recommendation with Level A evidence. Although TMR’s superiority over medical therapy has been demonstrated in randomized trials, in up to 25% of patients treated with TMR, angina relief is not significantly improved at one year and the percentage of patients who become angina free is approximately 20%[3, 5]. In prospective series all patients significantly improvement in angina class through the period of follow up.

As a potential alternative to TMR, exogenously administered biologic substances such as growth factors and stem cells have been evaluated for the treatment of medically-refractory angina. Direct intramyocardial injection of specific growth factors, such as
vascular endothelial growth factor and basic fibroblastic growth factor have yielded angina improvement in inoperable patients and may positively effect left ventricular function[12]. The use of intramyocardial injection of autologous bone marrow derived mononuclear cells such as CD34+ and AC133+ stem cells has also yielded positive efficacy signals with regard to angina improvement and myocardial perfusion in patients with refractory ischemia[13, 14].

TMR results in an up regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor messenger RNA and an increased expression of other angiogenic growth factors[15]. Hugh’s and colleagues[16] examined the neovascularization response six months post-TMR in an ischemic porcine model and reported significant increases in vascular density in lased regions. In addition they reported that laser systems which create an injury (carbon dioxide and Holium:YAG) improved myocardial blood flow and contractile reserve in lased regions, whereas improvements were not observed following a sham thoracotomy or using a non injury producing lasers (eximer). When mechanical TMR has been compared to laser TMR, mechanical TMR resulted in no angiogenic response suggesting that a threshold injury to an ischemic myocardial region was needed to induce angiogenesis[17]. In an evaluation of their cumulative studies, Hughes and Lowe concluded that TMR induced neovascularization in lased regions is likely due to an up regulation of the angiogenic cascade secondary to an inflammatory response after laser treatment. Bone Marrow Laser Revascularization (BMLR) describes the delivery of autologous bone marrow concentrate in conjunction with TMR channels into targeted ischemic tissue. It is the hypothesized that the delivery of bone marrow derived stem cells into the order zone surrounding the channels will significantly enhance the angiogenic response compared to TMR alone. We have proved that this surgical approach is safe, easily reproducible and it can be performed in 60-90 minutes. We had no device related complications, and only one procedurally related complication - a surgical wound healing complication in a severely diabetic patient. This despite a relatively high risk patient series with diffuse coronary heart
disease and most with additional cardiovascular risk factors. The procedure was performed in an average of 90 minutes with no significant operative events. It is hypothesized that the delivery of bone marrow derived stem cells into the border zone surrounding the channels may significantly enhance the angiogenic response and resulting clinical effect compared to TMR alone.

Utilizing TMR as a biomechanical trigger to enhance the angiogenic cascade when combined with an adjunctive biological therapy is supported by enhanced perfusion and improved mechanical function when evaluated in ischemic animal models[18]. Recent animal studies provide insight into possible mechanisms of synergy between TMR and biologic substances. Atluri and colleagues[19] demonstrated that the localized acute healing response to the laser injury includes an up-regulation of injured myocytes, platelet activation with growth factor release from the thrombus that forms within the laser channel, as well as the recruitment of intrinsic myocardial stem cells. In addition, Patel and colleagues[20] demonstrated enhanced stem cell retention when stem cells are injected into the border zone of a laser channel suggesting the microenvironment created by the laser-tissue interaction may be important for stem cell retention in ischemic tissue. Finally, the small, early clinical experience with TMR combined with stem cell therapy has demonstrated its safety and feasibility and the potential for improving outcomes[21, 22]. Wehberg and colleagues recently demonstrated superior angina relief and significant ejection fraction improvement when sole therapy TMR was combined with platelet rich plasma compared to TMR alone. A randomized trial comparing TMR versus BMLR is required to validate this hypothesis.

This patient series demonstrated that the clinical benefit was achieved without adverse events. The diagnostics performed showed no change in the functional performance of the left ventricle. These data support the safety of and feasibility of the BMLR technique. Although special methods as three dimensional microvascular lectin angiogram[19] or the modified Clark electrode[23] has been used in animals models it
is believed that conventional methods may not be sensitive enough to identify subtle changes after stem cell injection[23]. The objective of this study was to evaluate the safety and feasibility of the BMLR treatment while initially collecting efficacy outcomes data. The MRI and SPECT performed ruled out any adverse remodeling of the left ventricle, but may not be ideal for identifying the physiologic effect of the treatment. Our preliminary results demonstrate the safety and feasibility of combining TMR and the implantation of autologous concentrated bone marrow derived stem cells, and delivering them through a single device. In this prospective series, the magnitude of angina relief demonstrated with BMLR was favorable when compared to published TMR as a stand-alone therapy. In addition, the bone marrow concentration method (SmartPrep2, Harvest Technologies, Plymouth, MA, USA) allowed rapid and efficient concentration of bone marrow aspirate in the operating room while obtaining high stem cell counts with minimal manipulation of the autologous material. In a recent meta-analysis[24] the mean number of mononuclear cells concentrated using cumbersome and time consuming chemical or filtering techniques was $80 \times 10^6/ml$ compared to $81.3 \times 10^6/ml$ observed with this technique. Our mean count of mononuclear cells was $81.3 (43.7 – 156.8) \times 10^6$ per millilitre and we obtained $20$ cc of concentrated bone marrow mononuclear cells which may be adequate to achieve the desired clinical result.

**Limitations of the study:**

This is prospective single arm open label study in 19 patients to assess the safety and feasibility of the BMLR treatment. Longer follow up and randomized groups are required to assess the potential synergy of TMR combined with bone marrow derived stem cells. Cardiac imaging to evaluate perfusion and function (cardiac MRI and SPECT nuclear study) were included in this study. Additional diagnostic techniques or methods may be required to assess the physiological impact of the BMLR treatment. A randomized trial comparing TMR versus BMLR is required to assess the superiority of adding stem cells to the TMR procedure.
Conclusions

Cardiac surgeons are increasingly faced with a more complex patient who has developed a pattern of diffuse coronary artery disease who cannot be completely revascularized by conventional techniques. The point of care bone marrow aspirate centrifuge system provides a straightforward method for intraoperative harvesting and preparation of autologous stem cells. The combination delivery system provides for the efficient delivery of TMR and concentrated cells in targeted ischemic myocardium. This advanced treatment for inoperable CAD is safe and feasible. Prospective, randomized, multi-center trials are required to determine the degree of synergistic effect.
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**Table 1**: Baseline clinical characteristics of patients (n=19).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>18 (94.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>11 (57.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipemia</td>
<td>16 (84.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>4 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>4 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung disease</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic myocardial infarction</td>
<td>12 (63.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus rhythm</td>
<td>19 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Bone marrow aspiration cell counts per milliliter before and after concentration; p<0.05 in all cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bone Marrow Aspiration</th>
<th>Average Total MNC (10^6 /ml + range)</th>
<th>Average CD34+ (10^6 /ml + range)</th>
<th>Average CD133+ (10^6 /ml + range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre Concentrate (120cc)</td>
<td>27.9 (15.1 – 45.0)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.04 – 0.3)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.001 – 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Concentrate (20cc)</td>
<td>81.3 (43.7 – 156.8)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.1 – 1.4)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.001 – 0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legends

Figure 1: Troponin and CPKmb levels measured at 2, 6 and 18 hours after BMLR.
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