Case Report

Renal artery branch stenosis leading to secondary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in the renal region perfused by non-stenotic branch of the same kidney allograft and a successful recovery by radiological intervention
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Abstract

**Background:** Conditions associated with high intraglomerular filtration pressure can cause secondary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). Unilateral renal artery stenosis (RAS) or its occlusion results in FSGS-like changes and the nephrotic syndrome in the contralateral kidney due to hyperfiltration. However, it has been rarely reported that stenosis of a renal arterial branch can result in FSGS-like changes in a different portion in the same kidney allograft.

**Case Presentation:** A 60-year-old male kidney recipient developed allograft dysfunction after angiotensin II receptor blockade for hypertension 4 months after transplantation. It was proven that one of two arterial branches of the graft was markedly stenotic. Graft dysfunction improved after percutaneous transluminal arterioplasty (PTA), however; the stenosis recurred and massive proteinuria developed 5 months later. Graft biopsy showed ischemic changes in the region fed by the stenotic artery branch and in contrast FSGS-like changes in the region fed by the other branch. His clinicopathological manifestation including massive proteinuria almost normalized after the repeat PTA.
Conclusion: Here we report a case of secondary FSGS of a kidney allograft due to severe RAS of a branch of the same kidney, in which clinical and pathological improvement were confirmed after radiological intervention. When moderate to severe proteinuria appear, secondarily developed FSGS as well as primary (recurrent or de novo) FSGS should be taken into account in kidney transplant recipients.

Key Words:
kidney transplantation, nephrotic syndrome, renal artery stenosis, secondary FSGS (focal segmental glomerulosclerosis)

Abbreviations:
ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker, ATMR, acute T cell-mediated rejection; BP, blood pressure; DSA, digital subtraction angiography; FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; NS, nephrotic syndrome; POD, postoperative day; PRA, plasma rennin activity; PTA, percutaneous transluminal arterioplasty; RAS, renal artery stenosis; SCr, serum creatinine
Background

Conditions associated with high intraglomerular filtration pressure can cause secondary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) [1, 2]. Several groups have reported cases where unilateral renal artery stenosis (RAS) or occlusion results in FSGS-like changes and the nephrotic syndrome (NS) in the contralateral kidney due to hyperfiltration [3-7]. However, it has been rarely reported that stenosis of a renal arterial branch can result in FSGS-like changes in a different portion in the same kidney allograft [8]. Herein, we report a case of secondary FSGS of a kidney allograft due to severe RAS of a branch of the same kidney with pathologically-confirmed improvement after radiological intervention.

Case presentation

A 60-year-old male with end-stage renal disease secondary to IgA nephropathy (biopsy proven), on hemodialysis for 7 years, received a kidney transplant from an ABO-compatible deceased donor outside of Japan (details unknown). Tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, prednisolone and basiliximab were used for induction. He subsequently presented to our institute on postoperative day (POD) 9 with good kidney graft function (serum creatinine, SCr, 0.9mg/dl without proteinuria). However, on POD
18, he developed elevated SCr and graft biopsy revealed acute T cell-mediated rejection (ATMR, Banff classification, borderline change). He was treated with corticosteroid pulse therapy (500mg/day of methylprednisolone, for 3 days) and gusperimus hydrochloride (5mg/kg/day, for 7 days).

Although he was doing well until POD 118 except for insignificant CMV viremia, he suddenly developed severe edema, oliguria, and elevated SCr (from 0.9 to 1.5 mg/dl) after angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB) treatment was initiated for calcium channel blocker-resistant hypertension. Kidney graft biopsy demonstrated neither acute rejection nor calcineurin inhibitor nephrotoxicity (Fig.1A). C4d stain was negative on the peritubular capillaries by immunofluorescent stain (data not shown) and anti-donor HLA antibody wasn’t detected by bead-based flow-cytometry assays. A contrast enhanced computed tomography revealed that the kidney graft had two arterial branches (black and white arrowheads, Fig.1B), which were reconstructed by conjoined anastomosis just distal to the external iliac artery anastomosis. One of the branches was markedly stenotic (0.7mm in diameter, white arrowhead, Fig.1B) and plasma renin activity (PRA) was significantly elevated (31.9ng/ml/h). After percutaneous transluminal arterioplasty (PTA) of the stenotic branch (Fig.1C and D), blood pressure (BP) and SCr subsequently
returned to baseline.

However, 9 months after kidney transplant, hypertension recurred and massive proteinuria (9.53 uTP/uCr) was developed. Repeat digital subtraction angiography (DSA) showed recurrence of RAS in the same branch. The poorly-perfused and the well-perfused areas of the allograft could be easily distinguished using Doppler ultrasonography. Allograft biopsies were obtained from the two different areas and showed ischemic changes in the poorly-perfused area (Fig.2A) and FSGS-like changes in the well-perfused area (Fig.2B), respectively. Repeat PTA of the stenotic branch was performed with radiographic resolution of the stenosis. Subsequently, the patient’s hypertension and proteinuria markedly improved (from 9.53 to 1.80 uTP/uCr) and the PRA was significantly reduced (from 27.0 to 4.6ng/ml/h).

Fourteen (Fig.2C) and thirty months (Fig.2D) after kidney transplant, surveillance graft biopsies of the poorly-perfused and well-perfused areas were obtained. Histology of the well-perfused area showed significant improvement of the FSGS-like changes while the previously poorly-perfused area demonstrated moderately ischemic changes (Banff classification, interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy, IF/TA, grade II). The patient currently has excellent graft function (SCr, 0.9 mg/dl) with mild proteinuria (0.20 uTP/uCr),
Discussion and Conclusions

Secondary FSGS often results in severe proteinuria and can be seen in the setting of several renal diseases. Conditions such as massive obesity, Alport’s syndrome, and uncontrolled hypertension can cause high intraglomerular filtration pressure on the residual nephrons leading to NS [1, 2]. As a consequence, adaptive structural changes occur and the remaining glomeruli become sclerotic [1, 2]. Renovascular hypertension (RVH) secondary to RAS has previously been shown to cause nephrotic range proteinuria and FSGS-like changes in the contralateral kidney [4]. Similarly, RVH caused by the stenotic artery branch probably had such a high pressure on the glomeruli in the non-ischemic area of the graft in our case. In contrast, it is possible that the area perfused by the stenotic artery branch could be kept away from exposure to the pressure which can cause FSGS-like changes. In our case, from the clinical history and medical findings, secondary FSGS caused by stenosis of the arterial branch of kidney allograft was strongly suspected.

In addition, as another possible mechanism, hyperreninemia could cause directly massive proteinuria in our case. From the 1980s, there has been a focus on the
relationship between RVH and proteinuria and hyperreninemia has been implicated as a direct cause of proteinuria of the contralateral kidney with a normal SCr level [9, 10] as well as hyperfiltration caused by the secondary hypertension as mentioned above.

Treatment of the basal diseases will result in improvement in the proteinuria and correction of RAS by radiological intervention, surgical repair, or nephrectomy, results in a rapid decrease of urinary protein excretion [10]. However, in kidney transplant recipients, as surgical repair of the stenotic artery is generally difficult and risky, radiological intervention is selected in almost all cases. In our case, repeat PTA successfully diminished proteinuria and normalized his blood pressure.

In allograft biopsy at 14 and 30 months after the transplant, the affected lesion (perfused by the stenotic artery branch) showed moderate interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy. It has also been suggested that ischemia can also cause proteinuria [2]. It is important to follow up carefully to minimize additive potentially harmful causes of kidney allograft damage.

In conclusion, when moderate to severe proteinuria appears in kidney transplant recipients, secondary FSGS should be taken into account as well as primary (de novo or recurrent) FSGS. As secondary FSGS can be caused by various conditions, it is
important to detect and treat the basal diseases which cause the secondary FSGS changes.
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Figure Legends

**Figure1.** Findings of kidney allograft biopsy and CT angiography 4 months after kidney transplantation. (A) Kidney allograft biopsy revealed nonspecific changes such as calcineurin inhibitor toxicity or acute rejection (PAS staining original magnification x40). (B) CT angiography shows 2 arterial branches (black and white arrowheads) which were reconstructed by conjoined anastomosis at just distal to the anastomotic site on the recipient’s external iliac artery. (C and D) Percutaneous transluminal arteioplasty successfully dilated the stenotic arterial branch (arrows).

**Figure2.** Pathological findings of kidney allograft biopsy at 9 months (A and B) and 14 months (C) after kidney transplantation. (A) Ischemic changes are observed in the region of the stenotic arterial branch (PAS staining, original magnification x40). (B) Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS)-like changes are observed in the region with relatively intact blood perfusion (PAS staining, original magnification x40). Both fourteen (C) and thirty (D) months after repeated percutaneous transluminal arterioplasty of the stenotic arterial branch, the allograft biopsy in the well perfused area showed marked improvement of FSGS-like changes (Masson's trichrome staining, original magnification x20).