

## **Reviewer's report**

**Title:** Patient satisfaction and side effects in primary care: An observational study comparing homeopathy and conventional medicine

**Version:** 4 **Date:** 9 July 2008

**Reviewer:** Peter Fisher

### **Reviewer's report:**

#### Minor Essential Revisions

Table 3 shows significant differences in five variables (age, gender, education, chronicity and severity). But the values shown in Table 5 are corrected only for three of these (age, gender, chronicity), they should be corrected for all five. I apologise for not pointing this out in my previous review.

There are some very minor infelicities in the (generally excellent) English eg Background line 8 'patient satisfaction is...', should read 'patient satisfaction has been...'

Discussion lines 3-4 'tend to be more female...' should read 'are more likely to be female'

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

#### **Declaration of competing interests:**

I declare that I have no competing interests