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Reviewer’s report:

I was asked to review the manuscript to determine if the authors have adequately addressed another reviewers comments. I have read the other authors’ review and the authors’ response to that review. I feel that the authors have adequately responded to the concerns raised by the reviewer. However, I do have one suggestion based on consideration of one of the reviewer’s concerns related to confusion over the term experience being used in the context of the 'second order’ perspective- that the description in the article was not necessarily related to how the therapist or the families in the study experienced the intervention. I agree that, although the title is true to what the authors did, it may be somewhat confusing to a reader. I am wondering if changing the wording of the title/aim slightly would make the intent more clear (i.e., ...to describe how physiotherapists understand the theoretical assumptions of physiotherapy interventions from descriptions of intervention studies for children with CP??).
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