

Reviewer's report

Title: Children's and their teachers' perceptions of what is good and what is bad for eyes: a qualitative study in Pakistan

Version: 2 Date: 21 November 2005

Reviewer: Rakhi Dandona

Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Results

1. Tables 1 to 3 – The change suggested was to include data on teachers in the Tables. As the paper deals with the perceptions of children and teachers, it would be useful to have data for both in the Tables.

2. Tables 1 to 6 – Percentages for all frequencies shown should be provided. Percentages are useful even when more than one response option is possible as it simply suggests that a particular response was cited by a higher proportion of participants as compared with the other responses.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.