

## Reviewer's report

**Title:** Quality of chronic disease care in general practice: the development and validation of a provider interview tool

**Version:** 4 **Date:** 8 December 2006

**Reviewer:** Mark Atkinson

### Reviewer's report:

General

I am very satisfied with the authors' responses to my suggests and the changes which were made. My congratulations to the authors on the quality and thoroughness of this important work.

-----  
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-----  
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The formatiing of the new tables looks slightly different than the pre-existing ones.

-----  
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

**What next?:** Accept after discretionary revisions

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No

**Declaration of competing interests:**

I declare that I have no competing interests.  
MJA