

Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia (Siewert's / Kartagener's Syndrome): Respiratory symptoms and psycho-social impact

I C McManus * +

Professor of Psychology and Medical Education

H M Mitchison ++

Senior Lecturer in Molecular Genetics

E M K Chung ++

Senior Lecturer in Paediatrics

G F Stubbings +

Psychology Undergraduate

N Martin +

Psychology Undergraduate

+ Department of Psychology

++ Department of Paediatrics and Child Health

University College London
Gower Street
London WC1E 6BT, UK

* Author for correspondence. E-mail address: i.mcmanus@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract

Background. Although the pathophysiological defect in primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD; Siewert's / Kartagener's syndrome) is now well characterised, there are few studies of the impact of the condition upon health function, particularly in later life. This study assesses the health impact of the condition in a large group of patients. In addition it assesses the similarity in age of diagnosis, symptoms and problems of those with *situs inversus* (PCD-SI) and those with *situs solitus* (PCD-SS).

Methods. Postal questionnaire sent to members of the UK Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia Family Support Group. The questionnaire contained the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) and the SF-36 questionnaire for assessing health status.

Results. 93 questionnaires were returned, representing a 66% response rate. Replies were received from similar numbers of PCD-SI and PCD-SS. Individuals with PCD-SI did not show a significant tendency to be diagnosed earlier, and neither did they show any difference in their symptoms, or the relationship of symptoms to age. Respiratory symptoms were fairly constant up until the age of about 25, after which there was a slow increase in symptoms, and a decline in health status, patients over the age of 40 being about one and a half standard deviations below the mean on the physical component score of the PCS. Patients diagnosed earlier in life, and hence who had received more treatment for their condition, had better scores on the SGRQ Impact and Activity scores.

Conclusions. PCD is a chronic condition which has a progressively greater impact on health in the second half of life, producing significant morbidity and restriction of life style. Early diagnosis, and hence earlier treatment, may improve symptoms and the impact of the condition.

Keywords: Primary ciliary dyskinesia / Respiratory function / St George's Respiratory Questionnaire / SF- 36 questionnaire / *situs inversus*

The condition now known as Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia (PCD), a case of which was reported by Siewert [1], was first properly recognised by Kartagener [2,3], who described bronchiectasis, nasal polyposis, and chronic sinusitis in a group of patients who also showed *situs inversus totalis*, the complete left-right reversal of the viscera [4]. Subsequent work by Afzelius [5] demonstrated that patients with Kartagener's syndrome had a motility defect in the cilia of respiratory mucosa, in the lungs and sinuses, and that in addition in males there can also be a defect of sperm motility, which results in reduced fertility [6].

Electron microscopy of mucosal cilia and sperm tails shows that in PCD the normal 9+2 architecture is disrupted due to the absence of dynein arms [7]. Since dynein is one of the key intra-cellular 'molecular motors' [7,8], the absence of the dynein arms is responsible for the impaired motility of the cilia and sperm. The identification of the ultra-structural ciliary defect means that it is now more appropriate to describe the condition as primary ciliary dyskinesia, the condition resulting from a primary problem in ciliary motility. The recognition of ciliary dyskinesia or immotility in Kartagener's syndrome has resulted in improved diagnosis, and an increasing awareness that the condition is more frequent than had been realised, and that, despite being an inherited defect, it is sometimes only diagnosed quite late in life [9,10]. Although the condition is usually inherited as an autosomal recessive [11,12], and some specific gene defects have been recognised [13,14], it is clear that the syndrome shows substantial genetic heterogeneity [15]

Although Kartagener's syndrome classically showed *situs inversus*, a 'partial' syndrome was also recognised historically in which all of the symptoms were present, but the viscera were normally oriented (*situs solitus*). It is now clear that such cases are equally as frequent as the full syndrome, that cases of the syndrome with or without *situs inversus* co-occurs within families with an autosomal recessive pattern of inheritance, and that the

proper phenotype is not *situs inversus* but random *situs*, with a 50:50 chance of the viscera showing the normal or the reversed pattern [16]. Such a model can therefore explaining the occasional occurrence of monozygotic twins with PCD, one showing *situs inversus* and the other *situs solitus* [17]. Although the precise causal mechanism for the development of random *situs*, has not been fully elucidated in PCD, work on *situs inversus* in a range of species, including mice, frogs, chicks and zebra-fish [18], suggests that the problem arises during development due to a ciliary defect in the nodal region (or its homologues of Hensen's node in the chick, or the Spemann organiser in amphibia, which are all associated with the protein known as left-right dynein [19,20]), which results in disrupted or random fluid flow [21,22] – for a semi-popular account see McManus [23]. There are however some problems with the theory, and ciliary function may not entirely explain laterality development [24,25]. Although there is no direct evidence that patients with PCD also show defects in the cilia in the nodal region, the simultaneous occurrence of defects both in 9+2 cilia and 9+0 cilia in *Hfh4* null mice [26], and in mice with the human DNAH5 mutation which occurs in PCD [27], suggests that it is probably the case.

Despite the structural basis of PCD now being well-understood, there have been few studies of the effects of the condition on the health status of patients (although there are studies of respiratory function e.g. [28]). In particular there is no systematic description of the pattern of respiratory and other symptoms, of their variability and their development over the life-span, and neither is there any account of the impact of the condition on the life-style of the patients, or its effect upon their mental health. A search of PubMed found 771 articles using the search term (“Primary ciliary dyskinesia” or Kartagener*) and 693195 articles using the search term (Psycholog* or social), but a joint search of these categories found only a single article, in Spanish, which was only a case report [29].

Here we describe a study of a group of 93 patients with PCD who are all members of

a Patient Support Group based in southern England (although patients came from all over the UK), and in whom respiratory symptoms have been measured using the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire, and health status has been assessed using the SF-36 questionnaire.

Method

A postal questionnaire was sent in January 2003 to all individuals on the mailing list of the UK's Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia Family Support Group. A reminder was sent to non-respondents after four weeks.

The questionnaire consisted of 16 pages of A4, and covered a wide range of topics, not all of which are relevant to the present study, since a study was also being carried out of lateralisation [30]. Measures of personality were also collected, but will be reported elsewhere [31]. Separate versions of the questionnaire were provided for adults and children (under 16 years of age). The principle difference was in the consent forms (see below), and in addition there were minor changes of wording between the two forms, principally to do with work/school, and with occasional simplification of wording in child version. The child version also did not contain questions about smoking.

Respiratory symptoms were assessed by the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) [32-35], which provides three separate scales, **Symptoms**, **Activity** and **Impact**. It has been validated in bronchiectasis [34]. The scores are scaled in the range 0 - 100, where a score of 100 indicates optimal functioning within the context of respiratory illness.

Health Status overall was assessed by version 2 of the SF-36 questionnaire, which is a widely used generic instrument for assessing mental and physical functioning [36], for which UK population norms are also available [37]. The questionnaire has eight sub-scales which can be divided into two broad groups, **Physical Functioning**, **Role Physical**, **Bodily Pain**

and **General Health** which are primarily physical, and **Energy/vitality, Social functioning, Role Emotional** and **Mental Health** which are primarily mental. The eight sub-scales are each scored in the range 0 - 100, where a score of 100 indicates optimal functioning. Factor analysis was used in the population-based survey to calculate weights for deriving two summary scores, the **Physical Component Summary (PCS)** and the **Mental Component Summary (MCS)** [37]. Unlike the sub-scales, the PCS and MCS are scored so that in the reference population the mean is 50, and the standard deviation is 10, meaning that 95% of the population score in the range 30-70. Separate age and sex-related norms are also available (www.hsru.ox.ac.uk/sf36v2.htm).

The study was approved by the joint UCL/UCLH Committees on the Ethics of Human Research. The mailing also included a letter from the secretary of the Support Group which endorsed the study. In order to protect patient confidentiality, the names of members of the Support Group were not known to the researchers, address labels being applied to envelopes by the Support Group. Respondents were given the opportunity to provide contact details for further research, and a majority did so. The questionnaire contained a consent form as an integral part of its construction, and this was signed either by the patient, or, where appropriate, by the patient and their parent or guardian.

Results

Response rate. The initial mailing was to 160 addresses. Responses were received from 93 individuals, and a further 15 envelopes were returned by the Post Office as undeliverable for one reason or another. The response rate is therefore $93/(160-15) = 66\%$.

Respondents. Ninety-three completed questionnaires were returned, although not all respondents had replies to all questions (in some cases because of being too young). Parents of children were encouraged to respond to the questionnaire, irrespective of how young the

child was, and to complete only those questions which it was possible to answer for the child. The age distribution was somewhat skewed, the mean being 22.7 years (SD 16.8), with the median being 16.5 (quartiles 10.8 and 31.3), and the 10th and 90th percentiles being 5.4 and 53.7). 59 (63.4%) respondents were female and 34 were male. The female respondents were somewhat older (Man-Whitney U test, $p=.039$; mean age of females =25.2; mean age of males=18.42) . Of those under the age of 16, 55% (24/44) were female, but for those over the age of 16, 71% (35/49) were female. The origin of the difference is not clear.

Situs inversus. 48 respondents said that their heart was on the right, and 44 that their heart was on the left (one respondent did not answer this question). There is therefore no evidence of a response bias in favour of those with their heart on the right ($\chi^2 = 0.17$, 1 df=, NS). All of the respondents who said that their heart was on the right said that this had been confirmed by X-ray, and all but two said that to their knowledge all of their body organs were reversed. It therefore seems safe to infer that there are 48 cases of Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia with *situs inversus* (PCD-SI), 44 cases of Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia with *situs solitus* (PCD-SS), and one of PCD with situs unknown.

Family history. Twenty respondents reported that other members of their family had PCD. There was no association with situs inversus, 10 of the 20 having PCD-SI and 10 having PCD-SS.

Age at diagnosis. Figure 1 shows the age at diagnosis in relation to age at the time of the survey for the PCD-SI and PCD-SS patients. The age at diagnosis was slightly lower in the PCD-SI group (9.1, SD 12.1; median=5.0, IQR=.62 - 12.0, N=29) than in the PCD-SS group (mean = 13.8 yrs, SD 16.6; median=7.0, IQR=1.2 - 24.1, N=30), although the difference was not significant using either a t-test ($t_{57}=1.244$, $p=.219$) or a Mann-Whitney U-test ($z=1.02$, $p=.306$). The standard deviation in both groups was however very large, indicating that most of the older patients had only been diagnosed relatively recently (on average the patients over

the age of 30 (mean=46.6, SD 11.9), were 32.1 years old at diagnosis, i.e. mostly diagnosed within the past fifteen years – see figure 1). Multiple regression of age at diagnosis, after taking age into account, did find an almost significant difference between the PCD-SI and PCD-SS groups ($t_{56} = 1.919$, $p=.060$), although the effect was principally due to the outlier, who was aged 63, but diagnosed at the age of five. Removal of that case resulted in a non-significant difference ($t_{55} = 1.492$, $p=.141$).

Smoking. A question on smoking was only included in the questionnaire sent to those over the age of sixteen. Two individuals (4%) were current smokers; both were male and smoked ten cigarettes per day. A further six (13%) were ex-smokers, and the remaining 37 (82%) had never smoked.

St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire. The three sub-scales of the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire all correlated highly with one another (**Symptoms** with **Activity**, $r=.663$, $p<.001$; **Symptoms** with **Impact**, $r=.779$, $p<.001$; and **Activity** with **Impact**, $r=.757$, $p<.001$). The **Symptoms** sub-scale correlated significantly with age ($r= -.479$, $p<.001$), as also did the **Activity** sub-scale ($r= -.387$, $p<.001$), and the **Impact** sub-scale ($r= -.401$, $p<.001$). Figure 2 shows a lowess (locally weighted least-squares) plot of the relationship of the symptoms sub-scale to age. The **Symptom** score declines only very slightly until about the age of 25, after which the score declines somewhat more rapidly and there is a worsening of respiratory symptoms. Although not shown, the **Activity** and **Impact** sub-scales show a similar relationship with age.

The SF-36 measures of Health Status. Although the eight sub-scales of the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire provide a detailed picture of health status, the vast majority of the variance in them is more simply described by the physical component score and mental component scores. These scores also have the advantage of well-described population norms. Both the PCS and the MCS in our sample show a significant correlation with age ($r= -.344$,

$p < .001$; $r = -.363$, $p < .001$ respectively). However the population norms also show a decline associated with normal ageing, and therefore on its own this correlation is difficult to interpret. Figures 3 and 4 show scattergrams and lowess curves of the PCS and MCS in relation to age, and in relation to population norms for the age ranges 16-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54 and 55-64. The lowess curve in figure 3 shows that the PCS is only about half a standard deviation below the population mean until the mid-20s, after which the score declines somewhat more rapidly than the population norms, and from about the age of 40 or so it is about one and a half standard deviations below the norms. In contrast, although figure 4 shows that the MCS also declines with age, the declining health status broadly parallels that found in the general population as a whole, being at most about one third to one half a standard deviation below the population norms.

Age at diagnosis in relation to symptoms. An important question concerns the impact of the age at diagnosis upon symptoms. An earlier diagnosis allows the possibility of prophylaxis to try and prevent the longer-term complications of the condition. Statistically the best way to visualise this is in terms of ‘time since diagnosis’ (i.e. Current Age minus Age at Diagnosis) since that is the time during which medical care was provided. If medical care has an effect upon symptoms, then time since diagnosis should provide an additional predictor of symptom score, after age has been taken into account. Table 1 firstly shows the regression of symptom scores upon age, and then shows the regression of scores upon age and time since diagnosis (with each effect taking the other into account). The SF-36 physical and mental scores, and the SGRQ **Symptoms** score do not show a significant effect of time since diagnosis. However the SGRQ **Impact** score shows a statistically significant effect of time since diagnosis ($p = .022$), and the regression coefficient is positive (.580), in contrast to the negative regression coefficient for age (-.599) – in other words, despite a decline in score with each year of age, there has been an *increase* due to each year of treatment. Furthermore, since the regression coefficients are unstandardised, and hence are on the same scale of SGRQ

points/year, then the effect of age, and the effect of time since diagnosis are equivalent, but with opposite signs, suggesting that the two effects balance one another out so that deterioration has ceased after diagnosis. The effect of time since diagnosis upon the SGRQ **Impact** Score is shown in figure 5, a median split being used to group the patients into those who have been diagnosed for more than eight years (mean time since diagnosis = 4.5 years, SD= 2.1; mean age = 17.2, SD = 16.5) and those who have been diagnosed less than eight years (mean time since diagnosis = 15.3 years, SD=8.9; mean age = 25.4, SD = 14.8) . The SGRQ **Activity** score shows an effect that is almost significant at the .05 level (p=.085), and the effect is in the expected direction (a positive regression coefficient on time since diagnosis). If a one-tailed test has been used, which seems reasonable since treatment is expected to benefit symptoms, then the effect would have reached the conventional level of significance (p=.042).

PCD-SI compared with PCD-SS. Table 2 compares the symptoms and health status of individuals with PCD-SI and those with PCD-SS. None of the simple t-tests show differences between PCD-SI and PCD-SS. However those tests are relatively insensitive since, as can be seen in figures 2,3 and 4, there are substantial trends with age on many of the measures. The appropriate statistical test is therefore to use a hierarchical multiple regression firstly using age as a covariate, then assessing the overall effect of situs, and finally assessing the age x situs interaction (in other words, a difference in slope with age for PCD-SI and PCD-SS). Table 1 shows that there are significant effects of age for all of the measures, but that there is no evidence of differences between PCD-SI and PCD-SS, or of interactions with age. That can also be seen in figures 2, 3 and 4 where PCD-SS and PCD-SI are plotted separately.

Other symptoms. Patients with PCD often report a range of other symptoms including nasal congestion, headache, earache, sinus pain, sore throat, and heartburn [4,38]. We assessed each of these by modifying one of the questions of the SGRQ. Respondents indicated the

extent to which the problem had affected them *over the past four weeks*, using five categories: ‘Not at all’ [scored 0], ‘One day or so’ [Scored 1], ‘A few days a month’ [Scored 2], ‘Several days a week’ [Scored 3], or ‘Almost everyday’ [Scored 4]. Figure 6 shows that almost all individuals reported “a runny nose and nasal congestion”. “Pain over my sinuses” and “headaches” typically affected patients for a few days a month, whereas “a sore throat” and “indigestion of heartburn (reflux)” affected patients once a month or so. There was a tendency for sinus pain, headache and heartburn to increase with age. “Earache or hearing problems” showed a more unusual pattern, being very frequent in childhood and declining through adolescence to a minimum at about 25 years of age, and then climbing once more in frequency. The ‘U’-shaped shape of the age curve for earache is confirmed using multiple regression by a highly significant quadratic effect of age after taking the linear effect into account ($p < .001$).

Discussion

Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia is a chronic illness, in which, as Siewert emphasised in his description of the first properly documented case, symptoms can be present from soon after birth. Although the symptoms even from birth are inconvenient, our results suggest that during childhood and adolescence there is relatively little impact upon normal, healthy functioning, the standard measures of the SF-36 showing little deviation from normality. However during the mid-20s there is a continual and progressive increase in respiratory symptoms. Our results using the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire, which has been validated against objective measures of respiratory function [32-34] are clearly parallel to the decline in respiratory function with age which was measured by Ellerman and Bisgaard [28] using standard spirometric techniques, and which are replotted in figure 7. The long-term, longitudinal study of Ellerman and Bisgaard [28] also showed that respiratory function was significantly worse in patients who presented in adulthood rather than when the condition was

diagnosed in childhood. As in our results, Ellerman and Bisgaard [28] also showed that there was little deterioration once patients were under the supervision of a respiratory clinic, when management included regular spirometry, daily physiotherapy and monthly sputum cultures.

As well as lower respiratory symptoms, individuals with PCD also suffer a range of other symptoms from the ears, nose and throat, and upper gastrointestinal tract. Of some interest in our study is the decline in earache through adolescence, which provides a useful validation of the quality of our data, since such problems are thought to resolve as the Eustachian tube becomes larger due to growth. The subsequent resurgence of earache was more surprising, and requires further exploration.

On the physical scores of the SF-36 in our study there is a continual decline with age, such that from about the age of 40 onwards the health status of these individuals is one to one and a half standard deviations below the population mean (although there is substantial variability at all ages). That is a large and important effect on health, and although PCD does not usually manifest in an increased mortality, there is clearly a moderate degree of morbidity which affects normal physical functioning (although there seems relatively little effect on social and emotional functioning beyond the normal effects of ageing).

The results, particularly those shown in figure 3, are important because they suggest that the morbidity resulting from PCD is progressive across the life-span, and hence that early therapeutic interventions may be able to prevent the deterioration in health that we have found. The possible benefit of early medical intervention, particularly on the **Impact** and **Activity** scores of the SGRQ, is suggested by the analyses of table 1, which show that an earlier diagnosis, which results in more years of treatment since diagnosis, has a positive impact on symptoms. There is a need for properly designed, prospective studies both of early diagnosis itself, and of medical interventions prophylactic procedures such as physiotherapy, which may reduce morbidity.

Although our data represent the largest published study of the symptoms and effects upon health in PCD, we are aware that there is a risk that our sample may be biased. All of the subjects are volunteers who had chosen to join the PCD Family Support Group, so that it is possible that our subjects are not representative, perhaps coming from the more severe end of the spectrum of disease. Although that is possible, it also emphasises the need for properly representative and systematic studies of patients who are typical of the entire population. That would also require a concerted effort to identify all individuals with PCD, independent of symptoms and presentation and diagnosis at clinics.

Many of the subjects in our study are relatively young, in part reflecting the frequent presentation of patients due to symptoms in the neonatal period, and the increased awareness of paediatricians for the diagnosis in young patients with chronic respiratory or otolaryngological problems. We encouraged parents to respond on behalf of their children and with the collaboration of their children, and were gratified by the response rate. Although there might be a concern, particularly in children under the age of ten, that the reports are not reliable, the fact of the matter is that those under ten report similar patterns of symptoms to those in their teens, and that this provides support and validation of the younger patients' responses. It is also the case that none of our conclusions would differ if individuals under the age of ten or twelve or sixteen were eliminated from this report.

A striking, and biologically fascinating, aspect of PCD is that half of the patients have *situs inversus* (and Siewert himself was himself impressed by the co-occurrence of the unusual conditions of bronchiectasis in childhood and *situs inversus*). Although it might be expected that the age at diagnosis would be lower in individuals who had *situs inversus* (PCD-SI) than in those with *situs solitus* (PCD-SS) the trend in this study did not reach statistical significance. The present results are therefore similar to those of Coren *et al*[38] who also found a non-significant trend towards PCD-SI cases being diagnosed earlier than

PCD-SS. Even if a larger series were to find a significant effect, the broad conclusion has to be that even if the diagnosis is often triggered by the presence of *situs inversus*, the existence of other symptoms, in particular bronchiectasis in younger patients, should be sufficient to suggest PCD as a possible diagnosis.

Although only a half of PCD patients have *situs inversus*, the rest having the so-called ‘partial Kartagener’s syndrome’, our analysis makes clear that the symptoms of PCD-SI and PCD-SS are the same, and the evolution of the condition with age is also the same. The *situs inversus* that occurs is therefore independent of the chronic respiratory symptoms, and therefore the true syndrome, as Afzelius and others [5] have recognised, is upper and lower respiratory tract problems due to ciliary immotility, coupled with *random situs*.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Carol Polak and the members and the scientific committee of the PCD Family Support Group for their help with this research, and to Andrew Bush and Mark Gardiner for helpful discussions.

Table 1: Regression of the effects of age and time since diagnosis upon symptom scores.

Column (1) shows the simple regression of symptom score upon age, without taking time since diagnosis into account. Column (2) shows the regression of symptom score upon age after taking time since diagnosis into account, and column (3) shows the regression of symptom score upon time since diagnosis after taking age into account. The effects in columns (2) and (3) are therefore statistically independent. Regression coefficients are shown as the 'b' (unstandardised) coefficients, along with their standard error (SE) and significance levels (P). Entries in bold have $p < .1$.

	Effect of age, without taking time since diagnosis into account (1) b (SE) Sig	Effect of age, taking time since diagnosis into account (2) b (SE) Sig	Effect of time since diagnosis, taking age into account (3) b (SE) Sig
SGRQ-Symptoms	-.750 (.171) p<.001	-.832 (.191) p<.001	.354 (.363) p=.334
SGRQ-Activity	-.500 (.172) p=.005	-.646 (.188) p=.001	.628 (.359) p=.085
SGRQ-Impact	-.465 (.121) p<.001	-.599 (.129) p<.001	.580 (.246) p=.022
SF-36: PCS	-.292 (.105) p=.007	-.328 (.117) p=.007	.159 (.223) p=.480
SF-36: MCS	-.216 (.073) p=.005	-.241 (.082) p=.005	.106 (.156) p=.500

Table 2: Symptom scores in patients with PCD-SS and PCD-SI.

Mean (SD)	PCD-SS (N=44)	PCD-SI (N=48)	t-test PCD-SS vs PCD-SI	Multiple regression		
				Age	PCD-SS vs PCD-SI taking age into account	Situs x Age interaction
SGRQ-Symptoms	50.4 (25.3)	53.3 (22.5)	p=.535	p<.001	p=.626	p=.423
SGRQ-Activity	76.5 (24.5)	80.1 (20.7)	p=.449	p<.001	p=.514	p=.925
SGRQ-Impact	75.1 (19.3)	75.1 (15.7)	p=.989	p<.001	p=.851	p=.841
SF-36: Physical functioning	78.2 (25.9)	83.6 (19.9)	p=.694	p<.001	p=.305	p=.630
SF-36: Role limitation due to physical functioning	64.9 (43.3)	75.0 (39.7)	p=.269	p=.003	p=.342	p=.867
SF-36: Pain	77.4 (25.3)	79.4 (23.4)	p=.707	p<.001	p=.884	p=.426
SF-36: General Health	41.3 (25.5)	45.0 (24.0)	p=.473	p<.001	p=.537	p=.485
SF-36: Energy/fatigue	53.2 (25.5)	57.1 (24.4)	p=.467	p<.001	p=.651	p=.493
SF-36: Emotional well-being	75.2 (16.3)	77.1 (15.9)	p=.568	p=.022	p=.708	p=.063
SF-36: Role limitation due to emotional functioning	76.2 (37.0)	80.1 (37.8)	p=.621	p<.001	p=.864	p=.706
SF-36: Social functioning	70.3 (26.0)	79.9 (22.4)	p=.062	p<.001	p=.073	p=.732
SF-36: PCS	41.4 (14.1)	44.2 (12.5)	p=.312	p<.001	p=.354	p=.410
SF-36: MCS	49.0 (10.7)	50.6 (9.9)	p=.454	p<.001	p=.517	p=.412

Figure 1: Age at diagnosis of PCD (ordinate), in relation to current age (abscissa), separately for patients with PCD-SI (open circles, dashed line), and PCD-SS (solid circles, solid line). The fitted lines are lowess curves.

Figure 2: The Symptom scale of the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire plotted in relation to the age of the respondent. PCD-SS individuals are shown as solid black circles (●) and PCD-SI individuals as open black circles (○). The solid black line is the lowess curve fitted through the data.

Figure 3: The Physical Component Score of the SF-36 Health Status measure, plotted in relation to the age of the respondent. PCD-SS individuals are shown as solid black circles (●) and PCD-SI individuals as open black circles (○). The solid black line (—) is the lowess curve fitted through the data. The dashed black line (- - -) shows the population norm (see text), and the dotted black lines (·····) show one standard deviation above and below the population norm.

Figure 4: The Mental Component Score of the SF-36 Health Status measure, plotted in relation to the age of the respondent. PCD-SS individuals are shown as solid black circles (●) and PCD-SI individuals as open black circles (○). The solid black line (—) is the lowess curve fitted through the data. The dashed black line (- - -) shows the population norm (see text), and the dotted black lines (·····) show one standard deviation above and below the population norm.

Figure 5: The Impact scale of the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire plotted in relation to the age of the respondent and the time since diagnosis (calculated as Current Age minus Age at diagnosis). Individuals with a time since diagnosis of more than eight years are shown as solid black circles (●), and the lowess curve is shown as a solid black line (—), and those with a time since diagnosis of less than eight years are shown as open black circles (○) and the lowess curve is shown as a dashed black line (- - -).

Figure 6: Nasal congestion, sinus pain, headache, sore throat, earache and heartburn in relation to age. Symptoms are scored on a scale of 0 (None) to 4 (almost every day) – see text. A small amount of vertical jitter has been added to data points so that individuals are more easily distinguishable. The solid lines are lowess curves.

Figure 7: Re-analysis of data of Ellerman and Bisgaard [28]. Forced vital capacity (FVC; ○; - - -) and FEV₁ (●; —) plotted as percentage of predicted reference values in relation to age at most recent assessment. Fitted lines are lowess curves.

Reference List

1. Siewert AK. Über einem Fall von Bronchiectasie bei einem Patienten mit Situs inversus viscerum. *Berliner Klinische Wochenschriften* 1904;**41**:139-41.
2. Kartagener M. Zur Pathogenese der Bronchiectasien. I. Mitteilung: Bronchiectasien bei Situs viscerum inversus. *Beiträge zur Klinik und Erforschung der Tuberkulose und der Lungenkrankheiten* 1933;**83**:489-501.
3. Kartagener M. Zur Pathogenese der Bronchiectasien. Situs viscerum inversus und polyposis nasi in einem Falle familiärer Bronchiectasien. *Beiträge zur Klinik und Erforschung der Tuberkulose und der Lungenkrankheiten* 1935;**87**:489.
4. Bush A, Cole P, Hariri M, Mackay I, Phillips G, O'Callaghan C *et al.* Primary ciliary dyskinesia: diagnosis and standards of care. *Eur.Respir.J* 1998;**12**:982-8.
5. Afzelius BA. A human syndrome caused by immotile cilia. *Science* 1976;**193**:317-9.
6. Afzelius BA. The immotile-cilia syndrome and other ciliary diseases. *International Review of Experimental Pathology* 1979;**19**:1-43.
7. Milisav I. Dynein and dynein-related genes. *Cell Motil.Cytoskeleton* 1998;**39**:261-72.
8. Burgess SA, Walker ML, Sakakibara H, Knight PJ, Oiwa K. Dynein structure and power stroke. *Nature* 2003;**421**:715-8.
9. Parraudeau M, Scott J, Walsh C, Oakley C, Bloom S, Brooks D. Late presentation of Kartagener's syndrome. *British Medical Journal* 1994;**308**:519-21.
10. Gomez-de-Terreros-Caro FJ, Gomez-Stern AC, Alvarez-Sala WR, Prados SC, Garcia RF, Villamor LJ. [Kartagener's syndrome. Diagnosis in a 75 year-old woman]. *Arch Bronconeumol.* 1999;**35**:242-4.
11. Rott HD. Genetics of Kartagener's syndrome. *Eur.J Respir.Dis.Suppl* 1983;**127**:1-4.
12. Narayan D, Krishnan SN, Upender M, Ravikumar TS, Mahoney MJ, Dolan T-FJ *et al.* Unusual inheritance of primary ciliary dyskinesia (Kartagener's syndrome). *J Med Genet.* 1994;**31**:493-6.

13. Olbrich H, Häffner K, Kispert A, Völkel A, Volz A, Sasmax G *et al.* Mutations in DNAH5 cause primary ciliary dyskinesia and randomization of left-right asymmetry. *Nature Genetics* 2002;**30**:143-4.
14. Meeks M, Walne A, Spiden S, Simpson H, Mussaffi GH, Hamam HD *et al.* A locus for primary ciliary dyskinesia maps to chromosome 19q. *J Med Genet.* 2000;**37**:241-4.
15. Blouin JL, Meeks M, Radhakrishna U, Sainsbury A, Gehring C, Sail GD *et al.* Primary ciliary dyskinesia: a genome-wide linkage analysis reveals extensive locus heterogeneity. *Eur.J Hum.Genet.* 2000;**8**:109-18.
16. Rott H-D. Genetics of Kartagener's syndrome. *European Journal of Respiratory Diseases* 1983;**64 (suppl 127)**:1-4.
17. Noone PG, Bali D, Carson JL, Sannuti A, Gipson CL, Ostrowski LE *et al.* Discordant organ laterality in monozygotic twins with primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Am.J Med Genet.* 1999;**82**:155-60.
18. Essner JJ, Vogan KJ, Wagner MK, Tabin CJ, Yost HJ, Brueckner M. Conserved function for embryonic nodal cilia. *Nature* 2002;**418**:37-8.
19. Brueckner M, D'Eustachio P, Horwich AL. Linkage mapping of a mouse gene, *iv*, that controls left-right asymmetry of the heart and viscera. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 1989;**86**:5035-8.
20. Supp DM, Witte DP, Potter SS, Brueckner M. Mutation of an axonemal dynein affects left-right asymmetry in *inversus viscerum* mice. *Nature* 1997;**389**:963-6.
21. Nonaka S, Tanaka Y, Okada Y, Takeda S, Harada A, Kanai Y *et al.* Randomisation of left-right asymmetry due to loss of nodal cilia generating leftward flow of extraembryonic fluid in mice lacking KIF3B motor protein. *Cell* 1998;**95**:829-37.
22. Okada Y, Nonaka S, Tanaka Y, Saijoh Y, Hamada H, Hirokawa N. Abnormal nodal flow precedes situs inversus in *iv* and *inv* mice. *Molecular Cell* 1999;**4**:459-68.
23. McManus IC. Right hand, left hand: The origins of asymmetry in brains, bodies, atoms and cultures. London, UK / Cambridge,MA: Weidenfeld and Nicolson / Harvard University Press, 2002.
24. Tabin CJ, Vogan KJ. A two-cilia model for vertebrate left-right axis specification. *Genes and Development* 2003;**17**:1-6.

25. Levin M. Cytoplasmic ion transport as a general, very early step in the orientation of the embryonic left-right axis. *Submitted* 2003.
26. Brody SL, Yan XH, Wuerffel MK, Song SK, Shapiro SD. Ciliogenesis and left-right axis defects in forkhead factor HFH-4-null mice. *Am.J Respir.Cell Mol.Biol.* 2000;**23**:45-51.
27. Ibañez-Tallon I, Gorokhova S, Heintz N. Loss of function of axonemal dynein *Mdhnah5* causes primary ciliary dyskinesia and hydrocephalus. *Human Molecular Genetics* 2002;**11**:715-21.
28. Ellerman A, Bisgaard H. Longitudinal study of lung function in a cohort of primary ciliary dyskinesia. *European Respiratory Journal* 1997;**10**:2376-9.
29. Castrejon Vazquez MI, Martinez Cairo S. [Kartagener syndrome (type 1 primary ciliary dyskinesia). Report of a case and review of the literature] Original article in Spanish. *Rev Alerg Mex* 1998;**45**:54-6.
30. McManus IC, Martin N, Stubbings GF, Chung EMK, Mitchison HM. Handedness and *situs inversus* in primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Submitted* 2003.
31. McManus IC, Stubbings G, Martin N, Mitchison HM, Chung E. Stigmatisation, physical illness and mental health in Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. *Submitted* 2003.
32. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM. The St George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *Respiratory Medicine* 1991;**85 (Supplement B)**:25-31.
33. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM, Littlejohns P. A self-complete measure of health status for chronic airflow limitation: The St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *American Review of Respiratory Disease* 1992;**145**:1321-7.
34. Wilson CB, Jones PW, O'Leary CJ, Cole PJ, Wilson R. Validation of the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire in bronchiectasis. *American Journal of Respiratory Care and Critical Medicine* 1997;**156**:536-41.
35. Barr JT, Schumacher GE, Freeman S, LeMoine M, Bakst AW, Jones PW. American translation, modification, and validation of the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2002;**22**:1121-45.
36. Brazier JE, Harper R, Jones NMB, O'Cathain A, Thomas KJ, Usherwood T *et al.* Validating the SF-36 health survey questionnaire: new outcome measure for primary care. *British Medical Journal* 1992;**305**:160-4.

37. Jenkinson C, Stewart-Brown S, Petersen S, Paice C. Assessment of the SF-36 version 2 in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 1999;**53**:46-50.

38. Coren ME, Meeks M, Morrison I, Buchdahl RM, Bush A. Primary ciliary dyskinesia: age at diagnosis and symptom history. *Acta Paediatrica* 2003;**91**:667-9.





Figure 1

St. George's Questionnaire: Respiratory Symptom Scale



SF-36 Physical Summary Score




Figure 3

SF-36 Mental Summary Score




Figure 4

St. George's Questionnaire: Impact Scale




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7