

Effects of carrying a pregnancy and of method of delivery on urinary incontinence: a prospective cohort study

Erica Eason¹

Michel Labrecque²

Sylvie Marcoux³

Myrto Mondor⁴

1 Dept. of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada

2 Dept. of Family Medicine, Université Laval, Québec, Canada

3 Dept. of Social and Preventive Medicine, Université Laval, Québec, Canada

4 Epidemiology research group, Centre hospitalier affilié universitaire de Québec, Hôpital St-Sacrement, Québec, Canada

Please address correspondence to: Erica Eason, Box 803, 501 Smyth Rd, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1H 8L6. Tel (613) 737 8552 Fax (613) 737 8470 E-mail eeason@ohri.ca. Reprints will not be available.

Abstract

Background: This study was carried out to identify risk factors associated with urinary incontinence in women three months after giving birth.

Methods: Urinary incontinence before and during pregnancy was assessed at study enrolment early in the third trimester. Incontinence was re-assessed three months postpartum. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the role of maternal and obstetric factors in causing postpartum urinary incontinence. This prospective cohort study in 949 pregnant women in Quebec, Canada was nested within a randomised controlled trial of prenatal perineal massage.

Results: Postpartum urinary incontinence was increased with prepregnancy incontinence (adjusted odds ratio [adjOR] 6.44, 95% CI 4.15, 9.98), incontinence beginning during pregnancy (adjOR 1.93, 95% CI 1.32, 2.83), and higher prepregnancy body mass index (adjOR 1.07/unit of BMI, 95% CI 1.03,1.11). Caesarean section was highly protective (adjOR 0.27, 95% CI 0.14, 0.50). While there was a trend towards increasing incontinence with forceps delivery (adjOR 1.73, 95% CI 0.96, 3.13) this was not statistically significant. The weight of the baby, episiotomy, the length of the second stage of labour, and epidural analgesia were not predictive of urinary incontinence. Nor was prenatal perineal massage, the randomised controlled trial intervention. When the analysis was limited to women having their first vaginal birth, the same risk factors were important, with similar adjusted odds ratios.

Conclusions: Urinary incontinence during pregnancy is extremely common, affecting over half of pregnant women. Urinary incontinence beginning during pregnancy roughly doubles the likelihood of urinary incontinence at 3 months postpartum, regardless whether delivery is vaginal or by Caesarean section.

Background

Bearing children is known to increase the likelihood of urinary incontinence, but which aspects of pregnancy and delivery cause urinary incontinence have not been clearly established. The role of pregnancy itself, independent of labor and delivery practices, in causing incontinence has not been adequately recognized. The risk of urinary stress incontinence is known to increase throughout pregnancy[1]. While most women incontinent during pregnancy will regain continence postpartum, those with postpartum incontinence will almost always have been incontinent during pregnancy[1-3].

The objectives of this study were to identify maternal and obstetrical factors associated with developing urinary incontinence during pregnancy; to describe the prevalence and severity of postpartum urinary incontinence; and to identify factors associated with postpartum incontinence.

Methods

Information for this study was collected during a randomised controlled trial of perineal massage during the third trimester of pregnancy[4;5]. The study population consisted of pregnant women with or without a previous vaginal birth delivering in five secondary and tertiary care hospitals in the province of Quebec, Canada. The study was approved by the ethics committees of each participating institution. Women were enrolled at 30 and 35 weeks gestational age. In the intervention group, women were taught to do a stretching massage of the perineum for 5 to 10 minutes daily from 34-35 weeks gestation until delivery; women in the control group were asked to refrain from perineal massage. There were 1527 women enrolled between September 1994 and December 1995. At enrolment, participants completed a questionnaire on socio-demographics and obstetrical history. Women recruited after March 1995 (n=1198) also

completed a self-administered questionnaire on perineal functions during the month before conception and during the month before enrolment (i.e. early third trimester of pregnancy.) The questionnaire elicited information on the frequency (never, less than once per week, 1-6 times a week, once a day, more than once a day) of involuntary loss of urine when coughing, sneezing, laughing, or running. We asked this quantitative question about the frequency of stress incontinence since we were interested in the pathophysiology - actual episodes of urine loss – rather than the social impact of incontinence. At three months postpartum, a questionnaire was mailed to each participant asking about stress urinary incontinence at that point in time. Detailed data on the occurrence and severity of perineal lacerations and episiotomy were recorded immediately after the birth by the attending physician or house staff. The perineum was considered to be intact if there was no laceration or a non-sutured first-degree tear. Other information about the labour and delivery was abstracted from the medical record.

For the analysis of predictors of incontinence, incontinence was treated as dichotomous: any vs. no incontinence. Secondary analyses were carried out restricting the outcome to more severe incontinence - at least weekly and at least daily. To assess urinary incontinence before delivery as a predictor of postpartum incontinence, a categorical variable was constructed with 3 levels: women with incontinence pre-pregnancy (95% continued to be incontinent during pregnancy), new onset of incontinence during pregnancy, and no incontinence before or during this pregnancy. Maternal, obstetrical and new-born candidate variables for the adjusted models were identified by univariate odds ratios (OR) <0.8 or >1.25 in association with urinary incontinence, or from the medical literature. Univariate and adjusted odds ratios (adjOR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were obtained. Adjusted odds ratios from logistic regression analysis somewhat overestimate relative risk when the outcome is common. Since episiotomy

and operative delivery are determinants (“in the causal pathway”) of perineal trauma, separate models were used to analyse whether incontinence was better predicted by these interventions or by the degree of perineal trauma. Univariate, stratified and logistic regression analyses were carried out using Stata 7.0.

Results

Postpartum questionnaires were returned by 949 (79%) of 1198 women. These women constitute the study population, which has been described in a previous publication[5]. Baseline maternal characteristics of responders and non-responders to the postpartum questionnaire were similar in most respects although women who did not return their postpartum questionnaires were slightly younger (mean age, 28.6 vs. 29.8 yr.), less educated (mean educational level, 14.3 vs. 15.8 yr.) and more likely to have given birth by Caesarean section (17.7% vs. 12.0%). There was no difference in the proportion of responders between the perineal massage and control groups. Urinary incontinence was experienced by 22.3% of women before pregnancy, 65.1% during the third trimester, and 31.1% three months after delivery. Table 1 details the frequency of urinary incontinence before, during and after pregnancy in women delivering by Caesarean section or having a first or a subsequent vaginal birth. Only 10 women were delivered by Caesarean after a previous vaginal birth; we excluded them from further analysis, since they were too few to provide reliable estimates of effect. The proportion of primiparous women delivered by Caesarean who experienced urinary incontinence before (16.3%) and during pregnancy (55.8%) was similar to that of primiparous women who delivered vaginally (16.1 and 58.9%, respectively.) Postpartum, though, 31.2% of women were still incontinent after vaginal births compared to only 11.5% of women after Caesarean (OR 3.48, 95% CI 1.85, 6.54.) Of women

expecting a first vaginal birth who remained continent during pregnancy, 20.6% were incontinent after vaginal delivery compared to only 6.6% after Caesarean (OR 3.64, 95% CI 1.07, 12.34).

Most (81.8%) of the women with postpartum urinary incontinence were already incontinent before giving birth: 40.3% (119/295) were already incontinent before pregnancy, 40.7% (120/295) developed incontinence by the third trimester, and 18.0% (53/295) between study enrolment and completion of the postpartum questionnaire (third trimester data missing for a few individuals.)

Urinary incontinence occurred at least daily in 26/835 (3.11%) of women after vaginal birth and in 1/114 (0.88%) following Caesarean birth (risk difference 2.2%, 95% CI 0.2%, 4.3%).

However, compared to those delivering by Caesarean, women delivering vaginally were more likely to have at least daily incontinence before (2.0% vs. 0.9%) and during (10.5% vs. 8.8%) the pregnancy, not just after giving birth (NS).

New onset of urinary incontinence during pregnancy was more frequent in parous compared to nulliparous women (OR 1.95, 95% CI 1.42, 2.67). However maternal age, body mass index, weight gain during pregnancy, or factors potentially related to connective tissue properties, such as hair colour and stretch marks (data not shown) did not help to predict which women would develop urinary incontinence during pregnancy.

Postpartum urinary incontinence (three months after delivery) was independently associated with incontinence present before becoming pregnant and incontinence beginning during pregnancy (Table 2), even among women undergoing Caesarian birth. Postpartum urinary incontinence was associated strongly with high prepregnancy body mass index (7% for each unit of body mass index). . Once pre-pregnancy incontinence was taken into account, parous women were not more likely than nulliparous women to be incontinent postpartum (see stratified analysis, Table 3.)

Caesarian section was protective (Table 2). The following factors were not significantly associated with postpartum urinary incontinence in adjusted (multivariate) models: age, weight gain during pregnancy, baby's weight, previous vaginal birth, randomization group (massage or control), type of delivery, epidural anesthesia, duration of second stage of labor, episiotomy (Table 2) or degree of perineal trauma or periurethral tears (data from this model not shown).

We repeat these analyses with 'incontinence at least weekly' instead of 'any incontinence' as the outcome; the same predictors were significant. Too few subjects had incontinence at least daily (n=27) for stable estimates of odds ratios. Analyses restricted to women having a first vaginal birth yielded the same predictors as analysis with all parities combined.

Incontinence worse after delivery than in the third trimester occurred in only 9.7% (91/943) of women. Worse incontinence occurred in 3.5% (4/114) of women after Caesarean (adjOR 0.28, 95% CI 0.09,0.85) compared with 10.5% (87/829) after vaginal delivery. Maternal body mass index (adjOR 1.06 per unit of BMI, 95% CI 1.01, 1.11) was significantly associated with worsening of incontinence peripartum. Worsening tended to be more likely after forceps deliveries (adjOR 1.83, 95% CI 0.99,3.37) than after vacuum extraction (adjOR 1.33, 95% CI 0.73,2.42) or spontaneous delivery. Maternal age, baby's weight, length of the second stage, episiotomy, periurethral lacerations and degree of perineal trauma did not predict significant peripartum worsening of urinary incontinence.

Discussion

The 79% response rate to the postpartum questionnaire is very satisfactory for a single mailing. Although women experiencing urinary incontinence before or during the pregnancy were slightly more likely to respond, the availability of information on their antepartum continence status

allows us to control for this difference in the analysis. Women experiencing postpartum urinary incontinence may have been more or less likely to respond to the questionnaire; but the direction of this potential bias is unclear. We used a quantitative question regarding the frequency of stress incontinence, since we were interested in actual episodes of urine loss. The question has been validated as part of the King's Health Questionnaire as a separately scored item[6]. While some questionnaires (e.g. Urogenital Distress Inventory) emphasise the social and hygienic impact of incontinence, actual urine loss, rather than women's adaptation to it is more pertinent to an exploration of causal factors. We did not address urge incontinence; Wilson[2] found no difference in predictors of different types of incontinence (any, stress or 'pure stress') at three months postpartum; they also found no relation between obstetrical factors and urinary frequency and nocturia.

This prospective study of 949 women highlights the magnitude of the problem of urinary incontinence during pregnancy. Although parous women are more likely to suffer from incontinence, a surprising proportion of women with no previous delivery by any route (15.4%) reported that they had been incontinent before becoming pregnant. By the third trimester, over half of pregnant women anticipating a first vaginal birth and fully three quarters of women with a previous vaginal birth reported urinary incontinence. Since this information was collected at 30-34 weeks gestation, still more women may have become incontinent during the remaining weeks before delivery. In fact, serial interviews of pregnant women suggest that this is likely[7]. These figures are strikingly high, but of the same order as reported by Francis[3]. We found that just under a third of women after a first vaginal delivery and more than a third after a subsequent vaginal birth continue to have urinary incontinence three months later. The proportion of women remaining incontinent 3 months after a first vaginal delivery (31.2%) is virtually identical to the

proportion of parous women reporting incontinence just before the index pregnancy (31.9%), suggesting that postpartum incontinence usually does not resolve over time. Only 2.8% of women after first vaginal births and 3.5% after subsequent births had severe (at least daily) urinary incontinence, but the risk of urine loss even with less severe incontinence may constrict women's daily life.

Incontinence during pregnancy often resolves postpartum[3;7-9]. However, incontinence beginning during pregnancy is neither trivial – 13.6% of these women endured incontinence every day - nor transient: it indicates a significant risk of persistent urinary incontinence, even in women eventually delivered by Caesarean section. In fact, this prospective data confirms retrospective studies[8;10] in finding that pregnancy-induced incontinence is one of the strongest predictors of postpartum incontinence regardless of the route of delivery. In fact, stress incontinence rarely appears for the first time after childbirth if it has not happened during the pregnancy[3;7]. Thus determining who is at risk of becoming incontinent during pregnancy would be helpful in targeting preventive interventions. Unfortunately, we were not able to identify predictive maternal characteristics. Consequently, measures such as pelvic floor exercises in pregnancy which decrease postpartum urinary incontinence[11] are best recommended to all pregnant women.

Incontinence was worse after delivery than before in less than 10% of women. This is an upper limit for the proportion of women whose incontinence was a result of the delivery, since some may have become incontinent between study enrolment and delivery. It is in the same range as found by others[2;8;9;12]. The protective effect of Caesarean delivery is consistent throughout the literature. Impairment of continence during pregnancy seems to be largely reversible unless the stresses of vaginal birth are superimposed.

Episiotomy was introduced in part to protect the pelvic floor and prevent urinary incontinence[13]. But our and others' work[9;14;15;16] do not show a significant association between episiotomy and urinary incontinence. Avoiding episiotomy does increase periurethral tears[17] but we found that periurethral tears do not increase the risk of urinary incontinence. The relative risk for postpartum urinary incontinence after forceps delivery was consistent with a modest increase but the confidence limits included no increase in risk. Studies by Wilson [2] and Farrell[18] also found no increased risk with forceps deliveries. Vacuum extraction did not significantly increase risk. Despite concerns expressed by some obstetricians regarding perineal massage, this randomised controlled trial reassured us that the perineal stretching induced by massage neither impairs nor substantially protects against urinary continence [5]. Pelvic floor damage has been attributed to intrapartum events by Allen[19], who described neurophysiologic changes in association with a long second stage and larger babies. However, we and others [2;8;18] found that birthweight and the length of the second stage did not contribute to postpartum urinary incontinence. As shown in Table 2, even in women having a first vaginal birth, there was no trend towards incontinence with increasing length of the second stage or macrosomia. This was true both in univariate analysis and after adjustment for potentially confounding factors such as operative vaginal delivery or degree of perineal trauma. We unfortunately cannot comment on the duration of active pushing in the second stage, since we did not collect this information.

Conclusions

Being pregnant causes urinary incontinence in the majority of women, and increases the risk of postpartum urinary incontinence whether delivery is vaginal or by Caesarean. However,

Caesarean delivery is associated with a lower absolute risk of postpartum urinary incontinence.

Competing interests: none declared

Authors' contributions

EE and ML conceived of and designed the study, designed the draft questionnaires, coordinated the study and drafted the manuscript. MM and SL helped to design the study, performed the statistical analyses and participated in drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: Support for this study was provided by the Medical Research Council of Canada and the Fonds de la recherche en santé du Québec. Organon Canada supported investigator workshops. Dr. Marcoux holds a National Health Research Scholarship from Health Canada. Dr. Labrecque held a Research Fellowship from Medical Research Council of Canada.

References

1. Thorp JM, Jr., Norton PA, Wall LL, Kuller JA, Eucker B, Wells E: **Urinary incontinence in pregnancy and the puerperium: a prospective study.** *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999, **181**:266-273.
2. Wilson PD, Herbison RM, Herbison GP: **Obstetric practice and the prevalence of urinary incontinence three months after delivery.** *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1996, **103**:154-161.
3. Francis WJA. **The onset of stress incontinence.** *J Obstet Gynaecol Br Empire* 1960, **67**:899-903.
4. Labrecque M, Eason E, Marcoux S, Lemieux F, Pinault J-J, Feldman P, Laperriere L: **Randomised controlled trial of prevention of perineal trauma by perineal massage during pregnancy.** *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999; **180**:593-600.
5. Labrecque M, Eason E, Marcoux S: **Randomised trial of perineal massage during pregnancy: perineal symptoms three months after delivery.** *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2000, **182**:76-80.
6. Kelleher CJ, Cardozo LD, Khullar V, Salvatore S: **A new questionnaire to assess the quality of life of urinary incontinent women.** *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1997; **104**:1374-1379.
7. Stanton SL, Kerr-Wilson R, Harris VG: **The incidence of urological symptoms in normal pregnancy.** *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1980, **87**:897-900.
8. Iosif S: **Stress incontinence during pregnancy and in puerperium.** *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1981, **19**:13-20.

9. Viktrup L, Lose G, Rolff M, Barfoed K: **The symptom of stress incontinence caused by pregnancy or delivery in primiparas.** *Obstet Gynecol* 1992, **79**:945-949.
10. Meyer S, Schreyer A, de Grandi P, Hohlfeld P: **The effects of birth on urinary continence mechanisms and other pelvic- floor characteristics.** *Obstet Gynecol* 1998, **92**:613-618.
11. Harvey MA: **Pelvic floor exercises during and after pregnancy: a systematic review of their role in preventing pelvic floor dysfunction.** *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2003, **25**:487-498.
12. Chaliha C, Kalia V, Stanton SL, Monga A, Sultan AH: **Antenatal prediction of postpartum urinary and fecal incontinence.** *Obstet Gynecol* 1999, **94**:689-694.
13. Farrell SA, Allen VM, Baskett TF: **Parturition and urinary incontinence in primiparas.** *Obstet Gynecol* 2001, **97**:350-356.
- [14] Cunningham FG, Macdonald PC, Gant NF, Leveno KJ, Gilstrap LC, Hankins GDV: **Williams Obstetrics. 20 ed.** Stamford, Conn: Appleton&Lange, 1997.
- [15] Klein MC, Gauthier RJ, Jorgensen SH, Robbins JM, Kaczorowski J, Johnson B, Corriveau M, Westreich R, Waghorn K, Gelfand M, Guralnick MS, Luskey GW, Joshi AK: **Does episiotomy prevent perineal trauma and pelvic floor relaxation?** *Online J Curr Clin Trials* 1992, **1**:Doc No 10.
- [16] Sleep J, Grant A: **West Berkshire management trial: three year follow-up.** *Br Med J* 1987, **295**:749-751.
- [17] Rockner G: **Urinary incontinence after perineal trauma at childbirth.** *Scand J Caring Sci* 1990, **4**:169-172.

- [18] Carroli G, Belizan J, Stamp G: **Episiotomy policies in vaginal births**. In: Neilson JP, Crowther CA, Hodnett ED, Hofmeyr GJ, editors: Pregnancy and Childbirth Module of The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. Oxford: Oxford:Update Software, 1998.
- [19] Allen RE, Hosker GL, Smith ARB, Warrell DW: **Pelvic floor damage and childbirth: a neurophysiological study**. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1990, **97**:770-779.

Table 1. Urinary stress incontinence before, during and 3 months after pregnancy in 835 women delivering vaginally without or with a previous vaginal birth, and in 104 primiparous women delivering by Caesarean.*

| | Urinary stress incontinence | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-----------------|------|------------------|------|
| | before pregnancy | | third trimester | | 3 mo. postpartum | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| 1st vaginal birth (N=467) | | | | | | |
| None | 392 | 83.9 | 190 | 41.1 | 322 | 68.8 |
| Less than once per week | 62 | 13.3 | 168 | 36.4 | 99 | 21.2 |
| One to six times per week | 6 | 1.3 | 72 | 15.6 | 34 | 7.3 |
| Once daily | 4 | 0.9 | 17 | 3.7 | 8 | 1.7 |
| More than once daily | 3 | 0.6 | 15 | 3.3 | 5 | 1.1 |
| Repeat vaginal birth (N=367) | | | | | | |
| None | 250 | 68.1 | 89 | 24.3 | 232 | 63.2 |
| Less than once per week | 93 | 25.3 | 145 | 39.5 | 98 | 26.7 |
| One to six times per week | 14 | 3.8 | 78 | 21.3 | 24 | 6.5 |
| Once daily | 6 | 1.6 | 28 | 7.6 | 2 | 0.5 |
| More than once daily | 4 | 1.1 | 27 | 7.4 | 11 | 3.0 |
| 1st Caesarean section (N=104) | | | | | | |
| None | 87 | 83.7 | 46 | 44.2 | 92 | 88.5 |
| Less than once per week | 15 | 14.4 | 34 | 32.7 | 10 | 9.6 |
| One to six times per week | 2 | 1.9 | 17 | 16.4 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Once daily | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4.8 | 1 | 1.0 |
| More than once daily | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 |

*Totals may vary due to missing data. Ten women with a previous vaginal birth who delivered by Caesarean are not described in this table.

Table 2. Risk factors for any urinary incontinence three months postpartum

| Risk factors | N | Risk (%) | Crude OR | 95% CI | Adj. OR* | 95% CI |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Age (years) | | | | | | |
| ≤ 25 | 141 | 26 | 1.00 | - | | |
| 26-29 | 338 | 29 | 1.16 | 0.75,1.81 | | |
| 30-34 | 356 | 32 | 1.29 | 0.83, 2.00 | | |
| ≥ 35 | 114 | 41 | 1.97 | 1.16,3.35 | | |
| Age (continuous) | | | 1.04 | 1.01,1.07 | 1.02 | 0.99,1.06 |
| Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²) | | | | | | |
| < 20.0 | 216 | 25 | 1.00 | - | | |
| 20.0-23.9 | 442 | 30 | 1.31 | 0.90,1.89 | | |
| ≥ 24.0 | 291 | 37 | 1.74 | 1.18,2.58 | | |
| BMI (continuous) | | | 1.05 | 1.02,1.09 | 1.07 | 1.03,1.11 |
| Weight gain during pregnancy (kg) | | | | | | |
| ≤ 11.0 | 268 | 31 | 1.00 | - | | |
| 11.1-14.0 | 272 | 30 | 0.93 | 0.64,1.34 | | |
| 14.1-17.0 | 194 | 35 | 1.18 | 0.80,1.75 | | |
| > 17.0 | 215 | 29 | 0.89 | 0.60,1.31 | | |
| Baby's weight (g) | | | | | | |
| < 4000 | 837 | 31 | 1.00 | - | | |
| ≥ 4000 | 112 | 30 | 0.96 | 0.73,1.48 | | |
| Previous vaginal birth | | | | | | |
| 0 | 572 | 28 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| ≥ 1 | 377 | 36 | 1.50 | 1.13,1.98 | 1.30 | 0.85,1.98 |
| Group of randomization | | | | | | |
| Controls | 478 | 33 | 1.00 | | | |
| Massage | 470 | 29 | 0.85 | 0.65,1.12 | | |
| Urinary incontinence | | | | | | |
| Before delivery | | | | | | |
| None | 319 | 17 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Onset before Index pregnancy | 211 | 56 | 6.24 | 4.20,9.27 | 6.44 | 4.15,9.98 |
| Onset during Index pregnancy | 412 | 29 | 1.98 | 1.39,2.83 | 1.93 | 1.32,2.83 |
| Type of delivery | | | | | | |
| Spontaneous | 682 | 33 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Vacuum | 79 | 35 | 1.11 | 0.68,1.80 | 1.27 | 0.74,2.19 |
| Forceps | 74 | 37 | 1.16 | 0.70,1.91 | 1.73 | 0.96,3.13 |
| Caesarean ^{††} | 114 | 12 | 0.28 | 0.16,0.51 | 0.27 | 0.14,0.50 |
| Epidural anesthesia [‡] | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|----|------|-----------|------|-----------|
| No | 294 | 35 | 1.00 | - | | |
| Yes | 541 | 33 | 0.93 | 0.69,1.26 | | |
| Duration of second Stage (hrs)‡ | | | | | | |
| < 0.5 | 317 | 39 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| 0.5-0.9 | 175 | 31 | 0.71 | 0.48,1.06 | 0.85 | 0.54,1.33 |
| 1.0-1.4 | 127 | 31 | 0.71 | 0.46,1.10 | 0.78 | 0.46,1.35 |
| ≥ 1.5 | 216 | 31 | 0.71 | 0.49,1.0 | 0.68 | 0.52,1.42 |
| Episiotomy‡ | | | | | | |
| No | 612 | 35 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Yes | 223 | 29 | 0.75 | 0.54,1.05 | 0.68 | 0.47,1.01 |

Total may vary because of missing values.

*Adjusted for maternal age, body mass index, previous vaginal birth, timing of onset of urinary incontinence, type of delivery, duration of second stage, and episiotomy.

‡only vaginal deliveries (n=835) included

‡‡Adjusted for maternal age, body mass index, previous vaginal birth, timing of onset of urinary incontinence, type of delivery

Table 3: Proportion of women with urinary incontinence 3 months postpartum

| | Previous vaginal birth | | P value |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| | None | One or more | |
| Continent prepregnancy | 22.8% | 25.6% | 0.39* |
| incontinent prepregnancy | 52.2% | 59.7% | 0.27* |

* Chi-square test