

## Reviewer's report

**Title:** Implementing an Integrative Medicine Program in Academic Medical Centers: A leadership opportunity

**Version:** 1 **Date:** 10 June 2005

**Reviewer:** Leana Uys

### Reviewer's report:

General

1. The questions are clear and well formulated. The authors wanted to explore the CAM provision systems at different institutions with a view to informing decision-making at Universities interested in setting up such systems.
2. The methods are also clearly described, and the necessary instruments given. Limitations are stated.
3. The data seems to be sound. Descriptions are summarized in order to identify themes and organized around the three tasks of Universities.
4. The discussion and conclusions are balanced and useful.

-----  
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached) NONE

-----  
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The only problem I have with the article is that the Background to the abstract seems to me irrelevant. The introduction of the article could be much better used to give the background to the article in the abstract.

I also don't like the title. I think a more descriptive title would be "A review of Integrated Medical programs in the USA – integrating Complementary and Alternative Medicine into academic medical centres." This title has the appropriate key words in the title and is more descriptive of the real content. Leadership really is not addressed in the article.

-----  
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

NONE

**What next?:** Accept after minor essential revisions

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No

**Declaration of competing interests:**

I DECLARE I HAVE NO COMPLETING INTERESTS