

Reviewer's report

Title: Eurythmy therapy in chronic disease: a four-year prospective cohort study

Version: 3 **Date:** 17 March 2007

Reviewer: Jonathan Davidson

Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The majority of my concerns have been well addressed. I'd like to suggest that in the discussion, the authors point out that the arduous period of training will limit the dissemination of EYT and that here would be a good place to emphasise the need to develop simpler forms of the treatment - there's nothing unique to EYT in this respect - it's happened with psychotherapy and CBT too.

Point #7, concerning the screen;enroll ratio, is of relatively little significance to this report and my suggestion is to remove mention about the correlation between the ratio and the Disease Score.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.