

Smoking Status and Common Carotid Artery Morphological Changes among Middle-aged Men and Women: a Cohort Study

Amy Z. Fan^{1*}, Maura Paul-Labrador², C. Noel Bairey Merz³, Carlos Iribarren⁴, James H. Dwyer

¹ Division for Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention, NCCDPHP, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

² Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

³ Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Cedars-Sinai Research Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA; ⁴ Kaiser Permanente, Division of Research, Oakland, CA, USA

*Corresponding author

Email addresses:

AZF: afan@prev.org

MPL: Maura.Paul@cshs.org

CNBM: Noel.BaireyMerz@cshs.org

CI: Carlos.Iribarren@kp.org

Abstract

Background

Cigarette smoking is an established causal factor for atherosclerosis. However, the smoking effect on different components of carotid arterial wall is not well elucidated. The present study aimed to examine morphological changes in carotid artery wall detected by ultrasound.

Methods

Middle-aged men and women who had IMT measurement ≥ 0.7 mm at baseline and follow-up were included (N=413, age 40-60 years at baseline in 1995). Intima-media thickness of common carotid artery (CCA-IMT) and its components (echogenic and echolucent layers) were measured at baseline and in two follow-up examinations 1.5 and 3 years later. IMT and its components were compared across current, former and never smokers. Individual growth models were used to examine how smoking status was related to the baseline and progression of overall IMT and IMT components.

Results

For both men and women, current smoking was associated with thicker echogenic layer than never smokers; former smokers exhibited thinner echogenic layer than current smokers after adjustment for cigarette pack-years. Among women, current smoking was also associated with a thinned echolucent layer that resulted in a non-significant overall association of current smoking with IMT for women.

Conclusions

Cigarette smoking is associated with carotid artery morphological changes and the association is sex-dependent. The atherogenic effect of smoking appears to be partly reversible among former smokers. IMT measurement alone may not be adequate to detect carotid atherosclerosis associated with cigarette smoking among middle-age women.

Background

Cigarette smoking is a causative factor for premature atherosclerosis [1,2]. However, the exact mechanism of smoking-induced damage to the arterial wall and its relation to the atherosclerotic process is still largely obscure. The adverse effects of smoking on vascular wall structure has been historically evaluated by autopsy studies [3]. Clinically applicable diagnostic measurements such as ultrasound imaging are widely utilized in recent years [4,5].

The B-mode ultrasonographic image for a normal carotid artery is characterized by two parallel echogenic lines separated by an echolucent (hypoechoic) space. The parallel echoes correspond to the adventitial and intimal layers of the arterial wall, and the intervening echolucent region represents the media [6], although there may be systematic discrepancies between sonographic and histological measurements of intima and media thickness [7,8].

Prior reports have been mixed regarding the relations between cigarette smoking and ultrasound-measured carotid IMT. Whereas some researchers reported no significant differences in intima-media thickness of the common carotid artery (CCA-IMT) or

differences in progression of IMT between smokers and nonsmokers [9,10], others reported greater CCA-IMT in smokers [11-13], and that smoking is associated with echogenic plaques [14]. In addition, it is suggested that some harmful effects of smoking on the vessel wall are sex-related [14-16]. However, no study has been performed to determine specifically the association between cigarette smoking and carotid morphological changes in terms of arterial wall components detected by ultrasonography and whether there are any sex differences on those effects.

Changes in arterial wall thickness indicate structural arterial changes resulting from arterial remodeling, most often due to the atherosclerotic process. CCA-IMT is conventionally used as a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis [17], although important limitations of this marker have been recognized [18]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the chronic association between cigarette smoking on carotid IMT and IMT components. The following hypotheses were tested among middle-age adults: (1) smoking-related carotid artery wall changes involve both intimal and medial thickness; (2) the smoking-related alteration in carotid arterial wall is partly reversible in former smokers; and (3) there are sex differences among these relations.

Methods

Subjects

The Los Angeles Atherosclerosis Study (LAAS) cohort was recruited from a local utility company with over-sampling of smokers and person of Hispanic origin. The cohort (n=573) consists of women (age 45-60 yr) and men (age 40-60 yr) who had no history of coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke or cancer at baseline. The baseline examination (Exam 1) was completed in 1995. Two follow-up examinations were completed at 1.5-yr intervals. A sub-cohort was derived from the initial cohort who had IMT measurement ≥ 0.7 mm at baseline and the two follow-up Exams. A total of 413 subjects (men, n=231; women, n=182) met this criteria and were included in the present study. The thickness measurement of IMT and its components was performed at baseline and 3-year follow-up (what about the 1.5 yr follow-up?). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Keck School of Medicine at the University of Southern California.

Outcome measurement

Procedures for image acquisition and processing have been previously reported [19]. In brief, arterial images were acquired with a portable B-mode ultrasound scanner (ATL scanner, model UM4+, with 7.5 MHz linear-array transducer). Continuous B-mode images and simultaneous 3-lead electrocardiograms were recorded on super-VHS videotape. Videotapes were then processed using the automatic edge-tracking software called Prosound [20]. IMT was measured at the far wall of the artery in the 1 cm section of the common carotid 0.25 cm proximal to the bulb. The subjects were scanned in two body positions (supine and lateral) and on two sides (right and left). Up to 8 frames were processed for each subject. The same ultrasound images that were processed to obtain the IMT measurements were retrieved to obtain echogenic and echolucent layer thickness. The echogenic layer (intima) was measured manually (versus automated edge detection used for IMT measurement) by measuring the intima layer along the same 1 cm portion of the CCA used for IMT measurement. The echolucent layer was calculated as the difference between the IMT measurement

and the echogenic measurement. The intra-observer coefficient of variation (CV) for IMT is 4.2% and is 14.4% for intima thickness measurement. The IMT protocol in LAAS reduces reproducibility error by more than 50% relative to several protocols used in other major studies [19]. A single sonographer and a single ultrasound image analyst were used throughout the study to avoid inter-observer variation. The IMT progression was determined by the difference between baseline and 3-yr follow-up after adjustment for the time between the two examinations.

In addition to overall CCA-IMT, two echographic components of the IMT (the echogenic layer and the echolucent layer) were also measured for those with IMT measurement ≥ 0.7 mm. This criterion was adopted because of the difficulty in obtaining accurate thickness measurements for IMT components (echolucent and echogenic) if overall IMT is less than 0.7 mm.

Exposure measurement

Smoking status was defined by self-report as former, current, and never smokers. Former smokers were smokers who had ceased smoking prior to the baseline examination and remained abstinent during the 3-year follow-up. The cumulative cigarette exposure (*i.e.*, smoking pack-years) was estimated from self-reports of cigarette smoking from the baseline questionnaire. Cumulative exposure was calculated as packs smoked daily multiplied by the number of years smoked. The cumulative cigarette pack-yrs were categorized separately by sex into 4 groups (0-3), *i.e.*, never smokers, light smokers, moderate smokers, and heavy smokers. The last three groups were determined by sex-specific tertiles of smoking pack-years.

Statistical analysis

In the evaluation of smoking-IMT dose-response relationship, former smokers were excluded to avoid confounding by smoking cessation; in the evaluation of the effects of smoking cessation, never smokers were excluded and cigarette pack-years were controlled; in the evaluation of the effects of former smoking, current smokers were excluded. These approaches were applied to avoid collinearity between smoking variables and separate effects of current and former smoking distinctly [21].

Individual growth models (PROC MIXED in SAS) were used to examine the effects of cigarette smoking and smoking cessation on the baseline and progression of IMT and IMT components [22,23]. Initial level of IMT (and components) and time to examination (0, 1.5 or 3 yr) were specified as random effects, and initial levels and progression rates were allowed to co-vary. The between-examination covariance matrix of the individual level residuals was unconstrained. Covariate-adjusted least square means for baseline and progression of IMT across smoking pack-year categories were estimated at sex-specific means of covariates. Cross-sectional associations between smoking and baseline IMT were estimated as main effects, while associations between smoking and IMT progression were estimated as interactions between factors and time of examination. Parameter estimates were obtained by maximum likelihood estimation methods. Tests for linear or curvilinear trend were based on ordinal categories (0-3) of smoking pack-years. All analyses were completed using SAS (version 8.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

There were significant interactions of smoking variables with sex as predictors of IMT and IMT components ($p < 0.01$). Thus the multivariate analyses were performed for men and women separately.

Results

Smoking was more prevalent among the subjects included in this analysis compared with excluded subjects, especially among men (32.9% vs. 19.2% in men, $p = 0.03$; 21.4% vs. 16.1% in women, $p = 0.30$). A comparison between included and non-included subjects on major cardiovascular risk factors at baseline indicated that the included subjects had significantly higher levels of LDL cholesterol, blood pressure, BMI, ratio of sagittal to transverse abdominal diameter (an indicator abdominal obesity), and lower levels of HDL (Table 1). Compared to women, men exhibited significantly greater IMT, echogenic layer thickness, and proportion of echogenic layer thickness at baseline (Table 2). There was no appreciable sex difference for the absolute value of echolucent layer thickness, although the proportion of echolucent layer thickness was smaller in men than in women ($p < 0.01$). Thus, the sex difference for echogenic layer thickness essentially accounted for the sex difference in overall IMT.

Current smoking association with overall IMT and IMT components (i.e., current vs. never smokers)

Among women, current smokers exhibited thicker echogenic ($p = 0.001$) but thinner echolucent layers compared to never smokers ($p = 0.03$). Because of these two opposite effects, there was no association between current smoking and overall IMT in women ($p = 0.54$). Female never smokers exhibited greater progression of echogenic layer thickness than female current smokers ($p = 0.02$). However, the overall effect of current smoking on progression of IMT was not significant among women ($p = 0.38$). Among men, current smokers had a thicker echogenic layer ($p = 0.01$) and thicker overall IMT ($p < 0.001$) compared to never smokers. Contrary to women, there were no significant effects of current smoking on the echolucent layer in men. There were no significant associations between current smoking and progression of IMT, echogenic and echolucent layer thickness.

Smoking cessation relations (i.e., former vs. current smokers)

After controlling for age, body height, ethnicity, and cigarette pack-years, significantly smaller echogenic-layer thickness ($p = 0.01$) and significantly greater echolucent-layer thickness ($p = 0.048$) were evident for former female smokers in comparison with current female smokers. This differential impact of smoking cessation on IMT components resulted in a lack of association between overall IMT and smoking cessation in women. In men, former smokers manifested a thinner echogenic layer ($p = 0.047$), a similar echolucent layer ($p = 0.87$), and thus a thinner overall IMT ($p = 0.01$) compared with current smokers (Table 4).

Past smoking relations with overall IMT and IMT components (i.e., former vs. never smokers)

Former female smokers evidenced more rapid progression of overall IMT ($p = 0.006$) and echogenic layer thickness ($p = 0.0004$) than never smokers. Former male smokers manifested increased baseline ($p = 0.04$) and progression ($p < 0.0001$) of overall IMT, and increased progression of echogenic layer thickness ($p = 0.03$) compared to never smokers. There was no appreciable echolucent-layer difference in progression between former and never smokers for both men and women (Table 5).

Discussion

Prior study has demonstrated that smoking has a specific fibrogenic effect which causes intimal thickening [24]. Autopsy studies have provided strong evidence for the effects of smoking on aortic [25] and coronary atherosclerosis [26]. Furthermore, the degree of atherosclerosis correlates with the intensity of smoking (both with the average number of cigarettes smoked per day and the duration of smoking) [14]. These findings are also consistent with the evidence that cigarette smoking and duration of smoking are positively associated with markers of inflammation [27], which may be one of many mechanisms of intimal injury from smokers.

Pre-clinical atherosclerosis is thought to be mainly an intimal atherosclerotic process [28]; However, the manner in which the media changes during the progression of atherosclerosis is still unclear. Some researchers have suggested that media hypertrophy accompanies atherosclerosis progression [29-31], while others have suggested that there is a moderate attenuation of the media [32], or that the media is unaffected in type I to III lesions, but may be modified in very advanced stages of atherosclerosis [28]. Adding more complexity, there is an argument that IMT is not an ideal indicator for early atherosclerosis because it consists mainly of media. The current study provides evidence that the medial layer (corresponding to echolucent layer) may not necessarily get thickened during the smoking-related atherosclerotic process, which may partially explain poor correlation of IMT with clinical endpoints such as coronary disease in some studies [18,33].

The current study reveals that current cigarette smoking correlated to a thinner echolucent layer in women. There are three possible explanations for this phenomenon. First, because the matrix macromolecules of the media are produced by smooth muscle cells (SMC), the thinned echolucent layer could be caused by media stretching without a compensatory increase in matrix protein synthesis. Second, the thinned echolucent layer may be caused by smoking-induced SMC apoptosis [34] and consequent medial atrophy. There was indirect supporting evidence that smoking is related to decreased arterial smooth muscle mass. For example, smoking is an important risk factor for the development of abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) [35,36]. The histopathology of aortic aneurysms is dominated by a transmural degenerative process characterized by medial atrophy and a gradual thinning and weakening of the vessel wall [37]. Medial SMC density is significantly decreased in AAA compared to normal aorta, and SMC apoptosis contributes to medial degeneration [38]. Therefore, if the smoking-related association in the common carotid is similar to that in the abdominal aorta (both are elastic-type arteries), the thinning carotid echolucent layer found in our study may be attributed to the medial atrophy. Third, the specific fibrogenic effect of smoking may not only cause intimal thickening, but also cause inflammation and density change of the media, rendering it

isoechoic with the intima and adventitia [39,40], and thus an apparent thinner echolucent layer in ultrasonic image. This pathological change could help explain why cigarette smoking was associated with greater distensibility in spite of more severe and echogenic lesions in another study [14].

The use of IMT progression over time as the solely outcome (without including baseline IMT) in an epidemiological study may result in spurious conclusions if not interpreted properly. In the current study, after controlling for smoking pack-years, the progression rate of IMT for current and former smokers did not differ among both men and women (see Table 4), which is consistent with other studies [41]. Nevertheless, it is inappropriate to infer that the atherogenic effect of smoking is irreversible [41]. Rather, our data suggest that the adverse effects of smoking are partly reversible among former smokers. In comparison with current smokers with similar pack-year exposure, former smokers manifested lesser extent of intimal thickening among both men and women. Particularly, the echolucent-layer-thinning in association with smoking was lessened among the subgroup of former female smokers.

However, former smokers still manifest elevated atherosclerotic risk in comparison with never smokers. Prior reports from population-based epidemiologic studies examining relations between smoking cessation and carotid atherosclerosis support our observation. Time-since-quitting was related to the atherosclerotic score [42]. In the Atherosclerosis Risk in Community (ARIC) study, former smokers display a large risk reduction in the first two to three years after quitting but maintain a modest elevated CAD risk for many years compared with that among never smokers [41]. This biphasic decrease in cardiovascular risk following smoking cessation indicates that some adverse effects of smoking may be cumulative and irreversible [41]. In the current study, the average time since cessation among former smokers was 14 years (range 0-40 yr). The relatively small samples of former smoker (n=117) limited the power to test the change of IMT and its components as a function of time since cessation.

There are at least two limitations in this study. First, only participants with IMT measurement 0.7 mm or greater were included; the participants who fulfilled this criterion may potentially possess higher levels of other risk factors relative to those not included (as shown in Table 1). Thus the results may not be generalized to the general population. Second, the relatively short follow-up period (3 years) and the small sample size (n=413) may have limited the power for detect correlations between smoking and carotid morphological changes. However, these two limitations were at least partially compensated by the precision of the IMT measurement [19].

Conclusions

This study examined carotid arterial morphological associations (thickness of arterial wall and components) detected by ultrasonography with cigarette smoking and smoking cessation. The evidence provided may help motivate early modification of the smoking habit and thus delay or reverse the disease process [43]. Furthermore, smoking-related carotid arterial morphological associations appeared to differ by sex. Overall IMT alone may not be a valid measure for detecting smoking-induced atherosclerosis, especially among women. However, the results need to be verified by larger longitudinal epidemiologic studies using ultrasonography.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AZF conceived this study, performed the analyses, and drafted the manuscript. MPL helped to address the technical aspects of the ultrasound measurements. CI and CNBM who are co-investigators of the Los Angeles Atherosclerosis Study (LAAS) provided valuable input in critical revision of the manuscript. JHD, the PI of LAAS, guided the overall project design and data collection of LAAS. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the agencies which the authors are affiliated with.

Acknowledgements

This work was adapted from my dissertation research which was supported by an award from Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program at California, USA (AZF, Principal Investigator, #11DT-0072). That award funded a secondary data analysis of LAAS (funded by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, #HL49910). I am indebted to my advisor, Dr. James H Dwyer, who has passed away. I also thank Dr. Donald Hayes who provided editing assistance to this work.

References

References

1. Djousse L, Myers RH, Province MA, Hunt SC, Eckfeldt JH, Evans G *et al.*: **Influence of apolipoprotein E, smoking, and alcohol intake on carotid atherosclerosis: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Family Heart Study.** *Stroke* 2002, **33**: 1357-61.
2. **Tobacco smoking and atherosclerosis.** *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1990, **273**: 1-380.
3. Solberg LA, Strong JP: **Risk factors and atherosclerotic lesions. A review of autopsy studies.** *Arteriosclerosis* 1983, **3**: 187-98.
4. Wallenfeldt K, Hulthe J, Bokemark L, Wikstrand J, Fagerberg B: **Carotid and femoral atherosclerosis, cardiovascular risk factors and C-reactive protein in relation to smokeless tobacco use or smoking in 58-year-old men.** *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2001, **250**: 492-501.
5. Kiechl S, Werner P, Egger G, Oberhollenzer F, Mayr M, Xu Q *et al.*: **Active and passive smoking, chronic infections, and the risk of carotid atherosclerosis: prospective results from the Bruneck Study.** *Stroke* 2002, **33**: 2170-2176.
6. Zwiebel WJ: **Color-duplex features of normal and abnormal carotid arteries.** In *Introduction to Vascular Ultrasonography, Third Edition.* Edited by Bralow L. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company; 1992.
7. Schulte-Altendorneburg G, Droste DW, Felszeghy S, Kellermann M, Popa V, Hegedus K *et al.*: **Accuracy of in vivo carotid B-mode ultrasound compared with pathological analysis: intima-media thickening, lumen diameter, and cross-sectional area.** *Stroke* 2001, **32**: 1520-4.
8. Persson J, Formgren J, Israelsson B, Berglund G: **Ultrasound-determined intima-media thickness and atherosclerosis. Direct and indirect validation.** *Arterioscler Thromb* 1994, **14**: 261-4.
9. Pit'ha J, Krajickova D, Cifkova R, Hubacek J, Petrzilkova Z, Hejl Z *et al.*: **Intima-media thickness of carotid arteries in borderline hypertensives.** *J Neuroimaging* 1999, **9**: 19-22.
10. Belcaro G, Laurora G, Cesarone MR, De Sanctis MT, Incandela L, Barsotti A: **Progression of subclinical atherosclerosis in 6 years. Ultrasound evaluation of the average, combined femoral and carotid bifurcation intima-media thickness.** *Vasa* 1995, **24**: 227-32.
11. van den Berkmortel FW, Smilde TJ, Wollersheim H, van Langen H, de Boo T, Thien T: **Intima-media thickness of peripheral arteries in asymptomatic cigarette smokers.** *Atherosclerosis* 2000, **150**: 397-401.

12. Diez-Roux AV, Nieto FJ, Comstock GW, Howard G, Szklo M: **The relationship of active and passive smoking to carotid atherosclerosis 12-14 years later.** *Preventive Medicine* 1995, **24**: 48-55.
13. Joensuu T, Salonen R, Winblad I, Korpela H, Salonen JT: **Determinants of femoral and carotid artery atherosclerosis.** *Journal of Internal Medicine* 1994, **236**: 79-84.
14. Sharrett AR, Ding J, Criqui MH, Saad MF, Liu K, Polak JF *et al.*: **Smoking, diabetes, and blood cholesterol differ in their associations with subclinical atherosclerosis: The Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA).**2005 Sep 8; [Epub ahead of print]. *Atherosclerosis* 2005.
15. Poredos P, Orehek M, Tratnik E: **Smoking is associated with dose-related increase of intima-media thickness and endothelial dysfunction.** *Angiology* 1999, **50**: 201-8.
16. Richey SA, Coady SA, Folsom AR, Couper DJ, Heiss G: **Smoking and diabetes differ in their associations with subclinical atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease-the ARIC Study 1.** *Atherosclerosis* 2004, **172**: 143-149.
17. Mack WJ, Selzer RH, Hodis HN, Erickson JK, Liu CR, Liu CH *et al.*: **One-year reduction and longitudinal analysis of carotid intima-media thickness associated with colestipol/niacin therapy.** *Stroke* 1993, **24**: 1779-83.
18. Spence JD: **Ultrasound measurement of atherosclerosis.** *Stroke* 2004, **35**: e87-e88.
19. Dwyer JH, Sun P, Kwong-Fu H, Dwyer KM, Selzer RH: **Automated intima-media thickness: the Los Angeles Atherosclerosis Study.** *Ultrasound Med Biol* 1998, **24**: 981-7.
20. Selzer RH, Mack WJ, Lee PL, Kwong-Fu H, Hodis HN: **Improved common carotid elasticity and intima-media thickness measurements from computer analysis of sequential ultrasound frames.** *Atherosclerosis* 2001, **154**: 185-93.
21. Leffondre K, Abrahamowicz M, Siemiatycki J, Rachet B: **Modeling smoking history: a comparison of different approaches.** *Am J Epidemiol* 2002, **156**: 813-23.
22. Littell R, Milliken G, Stroup W, Wolfinger R: *SAS System for Mixed Models.* Cary, NC: SAS Institute; 1996.
23. Dwyer JH, Feinleib M: **Introduction to statistical models for longitudinal observation.** In *Statistical models for longitudinal studies of health.* Edited by Dwyer JH, et.al. New York: Oxford University; 1992:1-48.

24. Stary HC, Chandler AB, Dinsmore RE, Fuster V, Glagov S, Insull W, Jr. *et al.*: **A definition of advanced types of atherosclerotic lesions and a histological classification of atherosclerosis. A report from the Committee on Vascular Lesions of the Council on Arteriosclerosis, American Heart Association.** *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1995, **15**: 1512-31.
25. Kannel WB, Sorlie P, Brand F, Castelli W, McNamara PM, Gheradi GJ: **Epidemiology of coronary atherosclerosis: Post Mortem vs. clinical risk factor correlations: The Framingham Study.** In *Atherosclerosis*. Edited by Gotto AM, Smith LC, Allen B. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1980:54-56.
26. Rhoads GG, Blackwelder WC, Stemmermann GN, Hayashi T, Kagan A: **Coronary risk factors and autopsy findings in Japanese-American men.** *Lab Invest* 1978, **38**: 304-11.
27. Frohlich M, Sund M, Lowel H, Imhof A, Hoffmeister A, Koenig W: **Independent association of various smoking characteristics with markers of systemic inflammation in men. Results from a representative sample of the general population (MONICA Augsburg Survey 1994/95).** *Eur Heart J* 2003, **24**: 1365-1372.
28. Stary HC, Chandler AB, Glagov S, Guyton JR, Insull W, Jr., Rosenfeld ME *et al.*: **A definition of initial, fatty streak, and intermediate lesions of atherosclerosis. A report from the Committee on Vascular Lesions of the Council on Arteriosclerosis, American Heart Association.** *Circulation* 1994, **89**: 2462-78.
29. Tracy RE: **Medial thickenings of coronary artery and the aging risk factor for atherosclerosis.** *Atherosclerosis* 2001, **155**: 337-46.
30. Tracy RE: **Medial thickness of coronary arteries as a correlate of atherosclerosis.** *Atherosclerosis* 1998, **139**: 11-9.
31. Pauletto P, Scannapieco G, Pessina AC: **Sympathetic drive and vascular damage in hypertension and atherosclerosis.** *Hypertension* 1991, **17**: 75-81.
32. Ghorpade A, Baxter BT: **Biochemistry and molecular regulation of matrix macromolecules in abdominal aortic aneurysms.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1996, **800**: 138-50.
33. Spence JD, Hegele RA: **Noninvasive phenotypes of atherosclerosis: similar windows but different views.** *Stroke* 2004, **35**: 649-653.
34. Taylor BV, Oudit GY, Kalman PG, Liu P: **Clinical and pathophysiological effects of active and passive smoking on the cardiovascular system.** *Can J Cardiol* 1998, **14**: 1129-39.
35. LaMorte WW, Scott TE, Menzoian JO: **Relationship of cardiovascular risk factors to racial differences in femoral bypass surgery and abdominal aortic aneurysmectomy in Massachusetts.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1996, **800**: 25-35.

36. Powell JT, Worrell P, MacSweeney ST, Franks PJ, Greenhalgh RM: **Smoking as a risk factor for abdominal aortic aneurysm.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1996, **800**: 246-8.
37. Ernst CB: **Abdominal aortic aneurysm.** *N Engl J Med* 1993, **328**: 1167-72.
38. Holmes DR, Lopez-Candales A, Liao S, Thompson RW: **Smooth muscle cell apoptosis and p53 expression in human abdominal aortic aneurysms.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1996, **800**: 286-7.
39. Porter TR, Radio SJ, Anderson JA, Michels A, Xie F: **Composition of coronary atherosclerotic plaque in the intima and media affects intravascular ultrasound measurements of intimal thickness.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1994, **23**: 1079-84.
40. Chong WK, Lawrence R, Gardener J, Lees WR: **The appearance of normal and abnormal arterial morphology on intravascular ultrasound.** *Clin Radiol* 1993, **48**: 301-6.
41. Howard G, Wagenknecht LE, Burke GL, Diez-Roux A, Evans GW, McGovern P *et al.*: **Cigarette smoking and progression of atherosclerosis: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study.** *Jama* 1998, **279**: 119-24.
42. Tell GS, Howard G, Evans GW, Smith ML, McKinney WM, Toole JF: **Cigarette smoking and extracranial carotid atherosclerosis.** *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1990, **273**: 39-49.
43. Bovet P, Perret F, Cornuz J, Quilindo J, Paccaud F: **Improved smoking cessation in smokers given ultrasound photographs of their own atherosclerotic plaques.** *Prev Med* 2002, **34**: 215-220.

Tables

Table 1 Comparison of major cardiovascular risk factors between included and non-included subjects *

Baseline variables	Included (n=413)	Non-included (n=160)	<i>p</i>
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.5±0.3 ^b	26.2±0.4	<0.0001
Rsag2Tr	0.67±0.003	0.65±0.004	0.002
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.53±0.05	3.30±0.07	0.009
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.44±0.01	1.55±0.02	0.0001
SBP (mmHg)	130±0.7	124±1.1	<0.0001
DBP (mmHg)	91.±0.5	88±0.7	0.0004

* Values are least square mean± standard error. The parameter estimates were obtained from general linear modeling procedure with adjustment for sex, age, and smoking status (current, former, and never). BMI, body mass index. RSag2Tr, ratio of sagittal to transverse abdominal diameter. Trig, triglycerides. LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. SBP, systolic blood pressure. DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study subjects (N=413)

Variables	Men (n=231)	Women (n=182)	<i>p</i> for difference ^a
	<u>No. (%) of subjects</u>		
Ethnicity			.12
Hispanic	65 (38.1)	48 (26.4)	
Non-Hispanic white	135 (58.5)	99 (54.4)	
Black	11 (4.8)	15 (8.2)	
Asian	10 (4.3)	18 (9.9)	
Other	10 (4.3)	2 (1.1)	
Smoking status			.005
Current smokers	76 (32.9)	39 (21.4)	
Former smokers	67 (29.0)	50 (27.4)	
Never smokers	88 (38.1)	93 (51.2)	
	<u>Mean ± SD</u>		
Age (yr)	49.3 ± 4.5	51.9 ± 4.5	<.0001
Body height (m)	1.76 ± 0.07	1.61 ± 0.07	<.0001
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	29.0 ± 4.9	28.0 ± 6.3	.002
Mean IMT ^b (μm)	708.8 ± 96.3	682.1 ± 88.0	<.0001
Echogenic layer	364.4 ± 98.1	331.0 ± 67.8	<0.0001
Echogenic layer (% IMT)	44.5 ± 7.4	42.6 ± 7.1	0.0093
Echolucent layer	362.9 ± 83.9	367.9 ± 91.5	0.78
Echolucent layer (% IMT)	50.0 ± 9.3	52.3 ± 9.4	0.01

^a *p* values for categorical variables were based on χ^2 analysis; *p* values for continuous variables were based on ANOVA.

^b IMT, carotid artery intima-media thickness

Table 3 Association of current smoking with baseline and progression of overall IMT, IMT components, and carotid lumen diameter compared to never smokers*

	<u>Baseline</u>				<u>Progression</u>			
	Current smoker	Never smoker	Difference	p value	Current smoker	Never smoker	Difference	P value
<u>Women (N=132)</u>								
Intima-medial thickness(μm)	679.7±14.5	668.3±10.6	11.3±18.4	0.54	13.6±3.1	10.3±2.0	3.2±3.7	0.38
Echogenic layer (μm)	375.0±14.1	315.1±10.3	59.9±17.8	0.001	-4.7±4.4	7.7±3.0	-12.4±5.3	0.02
Echolucent layer (μm)	316.0±16.6	360.9±12.1	-44.8±20.9	0.03	0.6±3.3	11.2±5.2	-10.6±6.1	0.09
<u>Men (N=164)</u>								
Intima-medial thickness(μm)	743.8±11.1	680.0±10.3	63.8±15.9	<.0001	15.1±2.3	11.1±2.0	4.0±3.2	0.22
Echogenic layer (μm)	389.3±13.2	340.9±12.8	48.4±19.4	0.01	6.5±4.0	8.3±3.8	-1.8±5.8	0.76
Echolucent layer (μm)	360.3±11.2	346.2±11.0	14.1±16.6	0.40	8.3±4.4	-0.2±4.1	8.5±6.3	0.18

*Data are presented as least-square mean ± standard error. The parameter estimates were obtained from individual growth models, adjusted for age, body height, and race/ethnicity.

Table 4 Association of current smoking with baseline and progression of overall IMT and IMT components compared to former smokers*

	<u>Baseline</u>				<u>Progression</u>			
	Current smoker	Former Smoker	Difference	p value	Current smoker	Former smoker	Difference	P value
<u>Women (N=89)</u>								
Intima-medial thickness(μm)	685.8±38.4	687.2±29.0	-1.4±24.3	0.95	6.8±8.9	4.9±7.1	1.9±5.4	0.72
Echogenic layer (μm)	360.3±34.6	301.6±26.0	58.6±22.2	0.01	1.6±13.3	20.1±10.3	-18.5±8.4	0.03
Echolucent layer (μm)	341.6±38.9	391.6±29.2	-50.1±24.9	0.048	-6.1±12.2	-18.4±9.4	12.3±7.8	0.12
<u>Men (N=143)</u>								
Intima-medial thickness(μm)	764.4±33.3	718.2±26.1	46.2±18.6	0.01	10.0±7.1	9.2±5.6	0.8±4.1	0.84
Echogenic layer (μm)	356.7±37.3	314.4±29.9	42.3±21.1	0.047	16.9±9.1	16.8±7.5	0.1±5.4	0.98
Echolucent layer (μm)	406.9±27.8	404.3±22.5	2.6±15.8	0.87	-6.7±10.8	-9.5±8.8	2.8±6.3	0.66

*Data are presented as least-square mean ± standard error. The parameter estimates were obtained from individual growth models among smokers, adjusted for age, body height, race/ethnicity, and cigarette pack-yrs.

Table 5 Association of former smoking with baseline and progression of overall IMT and IMT components compared to never smokers*

	<u>Baseline</u>				<u>Progression</u>			
	Former smoker	Never smoker	Difference	p value	Former smoker	Never smoker	Difference	P value
<u>Women (N=143)</u>								
Intima-medial thickness(μm)	678.4±13.4	672.9±10.8	5.5±16.2	0.74	10.0±2.8	10.2±2.1	-0.2±3.3	0.94
Echogenic layer (μm)	314.6±8.3	317.6±6.8	-3.0±10.1	0.76	13.6±3.3	7.0±2.6	6.5±4.0	0.10
Echolucent layer (μm)	367.4±14.6	361.3±11.8	6.1±17.7	0.73	-3.5±3.8	1.7±2.9	-5.2±4.5	0.24
<u>Men (N=155)</u>								
Intima-medial thickness(μm)	707.9±9.7	677.2±9.2	30.7±13.3	0.02	11.6±2.2	12.0±2.0	0.4±3.0	0.89
Echogenic layer (μm)	341.8±9.0	341.7±8.6	0.08±12.4	0.99	7.9±3.5	6.8±3.3	1.1±4.8	0.82
Echolucent layer (μm)	372.5±10.6	344.8±10.2	27.7±14.7	0.06	1.1±3.7	1.7±3.5	0.60±5.1	0.90

* Data are presented as least-square mean ± standard error. The parameter estimates were obtained from individual growth models, adjusted for age, body height, and race/ethnicity.