

Reviewer's report

Title: Practice activity trends among oral and maxillofacial surgeons in Australia

Version: 1 **Date:** 21 August 2004

Reviewer: Peter D Barnard

Reviewer's report:

General

Excellent article.

Study of interest as it is a comparative study over 10 years and indicates changes which have occurred.

Excellent response rate and study method clearly reported.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Nil

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Nil

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Authors should consider changing in the text the implied accuracy for mean data presented with standard errors. The additional decimal points and units should be in line with standard errors and detract from the flow of the text - eg 70+/-4 visits per week [figures are OK for tables].

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

None