

Reviewer's report

Title: Perceptions of eye health in schools in Pakistan

Version: 3 **Date:** 16 February 2006

Reviewer: Jens Aagaard-Hansen

Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

I refer to previous correspondance. This is the second round.

Only two of the authors corrections need further revision:

The correction in relation to p.4, para 1: What I meant to say was that the section starting "Although there are a range of ... down to if something happens to a child's eyes." can be considered very methodological and may be moved to that section. And that the newly inserted sentence "This was a qualitative study aimed ..." should come as last thing before the section on methods.

Otherwise fine. Good luck

p.12 the bracket on food items rich in Vitamin A): I don't suppose that we recommend that the school children should consider drinking breast milk? - smiles

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

no competing interests