

Reviewer's report

Title: Patient satisfaction of primary care for musculoskeletal diseases: A comparison between Neural Therapy and conventional medicine

Version: 2 **Date:** 30 September 2007

Reviewer: Nicola Maffulli

Reviewer's report:

General

My major issue with this approach is certainty of diagnosis, and, therefore, patients' selection.

Obviously, in a primary care setting there can be no easy and prompt access to more sophisticated imaging, such as MRI. Nevertheless, clinical diagnosis alone can be misleading, and, in this field, often wrong. Therefore, efforts should be made to tighten up this particular aspect of the study.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The English is at times cumbersome: it will benefit from professional editing.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.

Declaration of competing interests:

'I declare that I have no competing interests'