

Reviewer's report

Title: Development and Evaluation of a Cultural Competency Training Curriculum

Version: 2 **Date:** 4 July 2006

Reviewer: Cindy Brach

Reviewer's report:

General

The authors did a very good job responding to comments. The chief remaining item of concern is not one that the authors can change -- their low response rate. I recommend that they be required to add their response rate (26.6%) to their abstract and to the beginning of their discussion of limitations (p.15).

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)