

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Papillary muscle infarction in relation to left ventricular infarct distribution and transmural - assessment by delayed enhancement cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

Sean Wilson^{1*}, Fahmida Islam¹, Debbie W Chen¹, Jason Chinitz¹, Parag Goyal¹, Kana Fujikura¹, Thanh Nguyen², Yi Wang², Robert A Levine³, Richard B Devereux¹, Jonathan W Weinsaft^{1,2}

From 15th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions
Orlando, FL, USA. 2-5 February 2012

Summary

This study used delayed enhancement CMR (DE-CMR) and invasive angiography to evaluate relationships between papillary muscle and left ventricular (LV) chamber wall infarction following ST segment elevation MI (STEMI). Results demonstrate that papillary muscle infarction (PMI) parallels infarct transmural and contractile dysfunction within the adjacent LV wall.

Background

Papillary muscles and myocardium within the adjacent LV wall constitute two components of the mitral valve apparatus. Prior studies have demonstrated variable papillary arterial supply, and the relationship between PMI and overall LV infarct pattern is unknown. DE-CMR enables in-vivo study of infarct pattern within the LV - papillary muscle complex.

Methods

Patients with initial STEMI were enrolled in a prospective imaging registry. CMR (1.5T) was performed within 6 weeks (27±8 days) post-STEMI. Cine-CMR (SSFP) was used to assess LV wall motion (17 segment model, 5 point per-segment score) DE-CMR (IR-GRE, acquired 10-30 minutes post gadolinium [0.2 mmol/kg]) was used to assess infarct morphology: PMI was graded for location and extent (partial or complete, stratified by >50% papillary hyperenhancement); LV infarction was

quantified based on global size and regional transmural - ity (17 segment, 5 point per-segment score). Invasive coronary angiograms were read blinded to CMR.

Results

153 patients were studied, among whom 30% had PMI (74% posteromedial/37% anterolateral; 11% bilateral). Overall LV infarct size on DE-CMR was larger among patients with PMI ($p=0.01$). PMI strongly related to LV infarct distribution (Table 1), with prevalence increased 3-fold among patients with lateral wall, and over 1.5-fold with inferior wall infarction on DE-CMR ($p\leq 0.01$). Angiography findings paralleled DE-CMR, with over a 2-fold increase in PMI with right coronary artery (RCA) or left circumflex (LCX) culprit vessel infarction ($p<0.01$). Among patients with RCA infarcts, PMI exclusively occurred (100%) in the setting of right or co-dominant coronary anatomy and was associated with larger angiographic jeopardy score (20.8±6.0 vs. 15.8±5.9, $p=0.007$). In contrast, only one-third (36%) with PMI and LCX infarcts were left or co-dominant, with similar jeopardy scores between patients with and without PMI (19.4±9.8 vs. 15.3±11.6, $p=0.45$). Regarding extent, PMI was partial ($\leq 50\%$ hyperenhancement) in 76% of cases. PMI extent paralleled infarct transmural - ity in adjacent LV segments (Figure 1), with similar results when regional wall motion score was used as a surrogate for LV injury (all $p<0.001$). Additionally, there was a stepwise increase in LV lateral wall infarct size (% myocardium) among patients with bilateral PMI (12.8±4.2%) compared to those with isolated (3.5±4.2%) or absent PMI (0.8±2.0%) ($p<0.001$ for trend).


¹Greenberg Cardiology Division/Departments of Medicine Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Table 1 Infarct size and distribution

	PMI			Posteromedial PMI			Anterolateral PMI		
	Present (n=46)	Absent (n=107)	P	Present (n=34)	Absent (n=107)	P	Present (n=17) †	Absent (n=107)	P
INFARCT SIZE									
DE-CMR									
% LV hyperenhancement	19.5±11.4	15.0±9.5	0.01	19.2±11.4	15.0±9.5	0.03	23.5±14.3	15.0±9.5	0.002
Cardiovascular enzymes									
Creatine phosphokinase	2590±2344	2164±1836	0.25	2345±1751	2164±1836	0.63	3014±3282	2164±1836	0.34
Creatine phosphokinase-MB	243±207	199±189	0.30	254±202	199±188	0.22	189±212	199±188	0.89
Duration of symptoms									
Chest pain interval (hours)	12.4±9.4	10.5±8.4	0.24	12.7±9.7	10.5±8.4	0.24	13.0±9.5	10.5±8.4	0.26
INFARCT DISTRIBUTION									
DE-CMR									
Anterior wall	35% (16)	70% (75)	<0.001	15% (5)	70% (75)	<0.001	77% (13)	70% (75)	0.78
Lateral wall	65% (30)	22% (23)	<0.001	74% (25)	22% (23)	<0.001	59% (10)	22% (23)	0.003
Inferior wall	72% (33)	45% (48)	0.003	91% (31)	45% (48)	<0.001	41% (7)	45% (48)	0.78
Invasive angiography (infarct related artery)									
Left anterior descending	28% (13)	72% (77)	<0.001	3% (1)	72% (77)	<0.001	71% (12)	72% (77)	1.00
Left circumflex artery	24% (11)	6% (6)	0.001	32% (11)	6% (6)	<0.001	24% (4)	6% (6)	0.03
Right coronary artery	48% (22)	22% (24)	0.002	65% (22)	22% (24)	<0.001	6% (1)	22% (24)	0.19
Anatomically dominant artery*	57% (26)	26% (28)	<0.001	77% (26)	26% (28)	<0.001	6% (1)	26% (28)	0.12

* Either left circumflex or right coronary artery.

† 5 subjects had concomitant posteromedial and anterolateral PMI.



Conclusions

PMI is common following STEMI, with PMI extent paralleling infarct transmuralty and contractile dysfunction within the adjacent LV wall. Current findings dispute the notion of papillary muscles as end-organ structures particularly susceptible to impaired perfusion, instead supporting the concept that papillary muscles and adjacent LV myocardium are similarly vulnerable to jeopardized arterial supply.

Funding

K23 HL102249-01, Lantheus Medical Imaging, Doris Duke Clinical Scientist Development Award (Jonathan W. Weinsaft, MD).

Author details

¹Greenberg Cardiology Division/Departments of Medicine Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA. ²Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ³Radiology Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA.

Published: 1 February 2012

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-14-S1-P36

Cite this article as: Wilson *et al.*: Papillary muscle infarction in relation to left ventricular infarct distribution and transmural - assessment by delayed enhancement cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2012 **14**(Suppl 1):P36.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

